



Rating form completed by:

RUTHERFORD + CHEKENE ruthchek.com

> Evaluator: BL Date: 06/28/2019

Text in green is to be part of UC Santa Cruz building database and may be part of UCOP database

DATE: 2018-06-28

UC Santa Cruz building seismic ratings Mt. Hamilton Garage – Res #6

CAAN #7232 7281 Mt. Hamilton Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95140 UCSC Campus: Mt. Hamilton





East Elevation (Looking Northwest)



Rating summary	Entry	Notes
UC Seismic Performance Level (rating)	IV (Fair)	
Rating basis	Level 1	FEMA P-154 ¹
Date of rating	2019	
Recommended UC Santa Cruz	Nono	Priority A=Retrofit ASAP
priority category for retrofit	IV (Fair) Level 1 2019 None to None Yes	Priority B=Retrofit at next permit application
Ballpark total construction cost to retrofit to IV rating ²	None	Building is abandoned
Is 2018-2019 rating required by UCOP?	Yes	Building was not previously rated
Further evaluation recommended?	No	

¹ We translate this FEMA 154 evaluation to a Seismic Performance Level rating using professional judgment. Non-compliant items or a certain score in the FEMA 154 evaluation do not automatically put a building into a particular rating category, but we evaluate such items along with the combination of building features and potential deficiencies, focused on the potential for collapse or serious damage to the gravity supporting structure that may threaten occupant safety. See Section III.B of the 19 May 2017 *UC Seismic Safety Policy* and Method B of Section 321 of the 2016 *California Building Code*.

² Per Section III.A.4.i of the 26 March 2019 *UC Seismic Program Guidebook, Version 1.3*, the cost includes all construction cost necessitated by the seismic retrofit, including restoration of finishes and any triggered work on utilities or accessibility. It does not include soft costs such as design fees or campus costs. The cost is in 2019 dollars.

Building information used in this evaluation

None

Additional building information known to exist

None

Scope for completing this form

A site observation was completed on 11 June 2019, and a FEMA P-154 Level 1 evaluation was completed.

Brief description of structure

The Mt. Hamilton Garage is a one-story wood framed building with a hipped roof that was constructed in 1916. It contains a rectangular footprint with an area of approximately 231 square feet, and it is located near the Lick Observatory in Mt. Hamilton, California. The building was formerly utilized as a storage facility, but it has since been abandoned.

The roof diaphragm is comprised of wood shingles over straight wood sheathing. The walls are horizontal wood siding over building paper and diagonal sheathing with two full-height let-in braces on the inside of each of the walls. The shingles are moderately deteriorated, and the siding paint is peeling. From the interior, the rafters and the studs appear to be in good condition.

The structure utilizes the exterior sheathed walls as the primary lateral force-resisting system. A large garage door opening located on one exterior wall creates a "C"-shaped lateral system. As such, the building is torsionally irregular.

Brief description of seismic deficiencies and expected seismic performance including mechanism of nonlinear response and structural behavior modes

Identified seismic deficiencies of the building include the following:

• The Mt. Hamilton Garage is torsionally irregular. It contains solid exterior walls on three sides; however, a large door opening is located on the east elevation which creates a "C"-shaped lateral force-resisting system.

FEMA P-154 Score

FEMA BUILDING TYPE	Do Not Know	WI	W1A	W2	S1 (MRF)	82 (BR)	S3 (LM)	\$4 (RC SW)	S5 (URM INF)	C1 (MRF)	C2 (SW)	C3 (URM INF)	PC1 (TU)	PC2	RM1 (FD)	RM2 (RD)	URM	MH
Basic Score	2	(2.1)	1.9	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.1
Severe Vertical Irregularity, VL1		-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.8	-0.7	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.8	-0.6	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	NA
Moderate Vertical Irregularity, VL1		-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	NA
Plan Irregularity, PL1		(0.7)	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.3	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	NA
Pre-Code		-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0
Post-Benchmark		1.9	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.5	NA	1.4	1.7	NA	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.6	NA	0.5
Soil Type A or B		0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1
Soil Type E (1-3 stories)		0.0	-0.2	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.1
Soil Type E (> 3 stories)		-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	NA	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	NA	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	NA
Minimum Score, Surv		0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.0

Summary of review of nonstructural life-safety concerns, including at exit routes.³

The are no falling hazards that pose a risk to the building occupants.

³ For these Tier 1 evaluations, we do not visit all spaces of the building; we rely on campus staff to report to us their understanding of if and where nonstructural hazards may occur.

UCOP nonstructural checklist item	Life safety hazard?	UCOP nonstructural checklist item	Life safety hazard?
Heavy ceilings, feature or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate	None observed	Heavy partitions braced by ceilings	None observed
Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways and public access areas	None observed	Appendages	None observed
Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways and public access areas	None observed	Unrestrained hazardous materials storage	None observed
Masonry chimneys	None observed	Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc.	None observed

Discussion of rating

A Seismic Performance Level rating of IV is assigned to the structure. Although the building is likely torsionally irregular, the wall piers that remain adjacent to the door opening are deemed sufficient, and, given its compact geometry, the roof diaphragm likely contains sufficient capacity to distribute forces to the remaining walls in rotation.

Recommendations for further evaluation or retrofit

No further analysis is required.

Peer review of rating

This seismic evaluation was discussed in a peer review meeting on 17 June 2019. Reviewers present were Robert Graff of Degenkolb Engineers and Joe Maffei of Maffei Structural Engineering. Comments from the reviewers have been incorporated into this report. The reviewers agreed with the assigned rating.

Additional building data	Entry	Notes
Latitude	37.342924	
Longitude	-121.638409	
Are there other structures besides this one under the same CAAN#	No	
Number of stories above lowest perimeter grade	1	
Number of stories (basements) below lowest perimeter grade	0	
Building occupiable area (OGSF)	231	
Risk Category per 2016 CBC Table 1604.5	П	
Site data		
Site class	В	
Site class basis	Inferred	The Lick Observatory complex is built on a rocky outcropping at the top of Mt. Hamilton. Fractured rock is visible adjacent to the building.
Liquefaction potential	Low	
Liquefaction assessment basis	Inferred	Engineering judgment given the lack of surficial soils and mountaintop location.

Landslide potential	Low	
Landslide assessment basis	Inferred	Engineering judgment given the building site is relatively level.
Active fault rupture identified at site?	No	
Fault rupture assessment basis	CGS Website	The Earthquake Zones of Required Investigation Lick Observatory Quadrangle has no Earthquake Fault Zones near Mt. Hamilton. The Mt. Hamilton area was "not evaluated for liquefaction or landslides." See <u>http://gmw.conservation.ca.gov/SHP/EZRIM/Ma</u> <u>ps/LICK_OBSERVATORY_EZRIM.pdf</u>
Applicable code		
Applicable code or approx. date of original construction	Built: 1916 Code: Unknown	
Applicable code for partial retrofit	None	No partial retrofit
Applicable code for full retrofit	None	No full retrofit
Model building data		
Model building type North-South	W1 – Wood Frame	
Model building type East-West	W1 – Wood Frame	
FEMA P-154 score	1.9	
Previous ratings		
Most recent rating	None	
Date of most recent rating		
2 nd most recent rating	-	
Date of 2 nd most recent rating	-	
3 rd most recent rating	-	
Date of 3 rd most recent rating	-	
Report attachments		
P 154 Level 1 Form and		
Site		





APPENDIX A

FEMA P-154 Form and Site Map





Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards

FEMA P-154 Data Collection Form

Level 1 VERY HIGH Seismicity

						Add	ress: 7	281 Mt	t. Hami	Iton Ro	ad			-			1
					*	04		san Jos		004 14	Linuit			Zip: <u>9</u>	5140		4
-			-		30	Oth	er ident	fiers: C	AAN 7	231 IVIT	Hamin	ion Ga	rage - I	Kes #b			
				- 3		Bui	aing Na	me:									
	Contrast and the other				Hall	Use	Stor	age	24			Longitu	udo: 1	21 620	400		
	6.		1		11168	Lau	Latitude: 37.342924 Longitude: -121.638409										
							1.000	• Brot I	izundi	a/ lin V	<u> </u>	S1. 0.	ote/Tim	6/1	1/19/2	•45 PM	
							seller(s)	Diett					ate/111	ie, <u>0/1</u>	1/10/2	4040	_
							Stories:	Abov	e Grade	e: 1	Belo	w Grade	e: 0	- Yea	ar Built:	1916	EST
	-	Pi				Add	itions'		η. π.): οπο Γ	231 7 Vec 1	(par/s) F	Ruilt-		_ 000	e rear:	Unkno	wn
Se State Rules		1111				000	inono.		amblu	_ Commo	reial	Emor 9	Sonicor		listorio		lor
	ten			2010 14		000	upancy	Indu	istrial	Office	Foldi	School	Jervicea		Governmei	nt onen	lei
	1 de la	100	10/11/	2019 14 3	04			Utilit		Wareho	use	Reside	ntial, #U	Inits:			
		Carlos and		Part and		Soil	Type:		XB		сг	ם מר	TE I		DNK		
								Hard	Avg	Den	se S	Stiff S	Soft I	Poor I	f DNK, ass	ume Type	D.
						Goo	logic H	Rock	Rock	So stion: Vo		K Lond	Soll slide: Ve	SOIL	Cuef D		NorNIC
						Adia	acency:	azai us.		ounding		Falling H	lazards f	rom Talle	er Adjacen	t Building	
		W	200			Irre	ularitie	s:		ertical (ħ	pe/seve	rity)					
			1			-	Jularitie	0.		lan (type	Tc	orsion ("C"-sha	aped la	teral svs	stem	
					1 Ale	Exte	rior Fal	lina	Πυ	nbraced	Chimne	VS	ПНе	avv Clad	ldina or H	leavy Ver	neer
				211.10		- Haz	ards:		P	arapets				pendage	s		
		- Creat	1/1			-			0	ther:							
			1			CO	MMENT	S:									
			-	-		1.	Roof di	aphrag	m is wo	ood shi	ngles o	ver str	aight sl	heathin	g. Wall	s are	
						ho full	rizontal	Wood s	siding o	over bu	Iding p	aper o	ver hor	izontal	sheathi	ng with	two
		A A	-			op	ening o	n the e	ast side	e. Shir	gles a	re mod	erately	deterio	orated a	nd sidin	q
			00100		PP	- pa	int is pe	eling, b	out inte	rior raf	ers an	d studs	are in	good c	onditior	n.	Ŭ
					55	- 2.	Note th	at FEM	A P-15	64 uses	the M	CE _R Sit	e Class	s B site	parame	eters to	00
		11	and a second fill		12:105	- he	re since	$S = S_s = 1.1$	66 > 1.	5 (thou	n. me qh S₁ =	= very = 0.54 ·	підп з < 0.6).	eismich	ly Regio	п арріі	es
				Hoden and Andrews				-					,				
							Addition	al sketch	es or cor	mments (on separ	ate page	÷				
	В	ASIC	sco	RE, MO	DIFIE	RS, A	ND FIN	AL LE	EVEL 1 SCORE, SL1								
FEMA BUILDING TYPE Do Not Know	W1	W1A	W2	S1 (MRF)	S2 (BR)	S3 (LM)	S4 (RC	S5 (URM	C1 (MRF)	C2 (SW)	C3 (URM	PC1 (TU)	PC2	RM1 (FD)	RM2 (RD)	URM	MH
Basic Score	(2.1)	1.9	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.1
Severe Vertical Irregularity, VL1	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.8	-0.7	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.8	-0.6	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	NA
Moderate Vertical Irregularity, VL1	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	NA
Plan Irregularity, PL1	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.6	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.3	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	NA
Pre-Code Post-Benchmark	-0.3	-0.5	-0.3	1.0	-0.2	-0.5	-0.2	-U.I	-0.1	-0.2	NA	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	NA	0.0
Soil Type A or B	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1
Soil Type E (1-3 stories)	0.0	-0.2	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.1
Soil Type E (> 3 stories)	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	NA	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	NA	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	NA
Minimum Score, SMW	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.0
FINAL LEVEL 1 SCORE, SL1 ≥ SMIN	1.9					UCO	P SEIS	MIC P	ERFC	RMA		EVEL	. (OR '	RATIN	۹ G ") ۱۱	/	
EXTENT OF REVIEW	EXTENT OF REVIEW OTH								ACT	ION R	EQUI	RED					
Exterior: Partial X	All Sides	Aer	ial	Are There	e Hazar	ds That	Frigger A		Detail	ed Struc	tural Ev	aluation	n Requir	ed?			
Drawings Reviewed: Yes	No		ered		otructu	tootiel /	ation?			es, unkn	wn FEN	/A buildi	ng type	or other b	ouilding		
Soll Type Source: Rock is visib	le			Cut-o	ding po ff, if kno	ential (ur wn)	HESS SL2	-	HY	es, score es, other	hazards	n cut-of					
Geologic Hazards Source: CGS web	site			Fallin	ig hazai	ds from t	aller adja	cent	X N	0		prevent.					
Contact Person: Joe Halay	Contact Person: Joe Halay building								Detail	ed Nons	tructura	al Evalua	ation Re	commen	ded? (ch	neck one)	10 T
Geologic ha						annola	ards or Soil Type F										
LEVEL 2 SCREENING PERF	ORME	D?		Geolo	ogic haz ficant d	ards or S amage/de	oil Type terioratio	F In to	□ Y	es, nonsi	ructural	hazards	identifie	d that sh	ould be e	valuated	
LEVEL 2 SCREENING PERF	ORME	D?	lo	Geolo Signi the si	ogic haz ficant di tructura	zards or S amage/de I system	oil Type terioratio	F in to		es, nonsi o, nonstr	ructural uctural h	hazards azards	identifie exist that	d that sh t may req	ould be e uire mitig	valuated ation, bu	ta
LEVEL 2 SCREENING PERF ☐ Yes, Final Level 2 Score, S _{L2}	ORME	D? 🕅 N	lo lo	Geolo	ogic haz ficant di tructura	zards or S amage/de I system	oil Type terioratio	F in to		es, nonsi o, nonstr etailed ev o, no nor	ructural uctural h aluation istructur	hazards azards is not n al hazar	identifie exist that ecessary ds identi	d that shi t may req r fied I	ould be e uire mitig	valuated ation, bu	ta
LEVEL 2 SCREENING PERF Yes, Final Level 2 Score, S _{L2} Nonstructural hazards? Yes Where information	ORME	D? N N e verifie	lo lo ed, scr	Geolo Signi the si eener shal	ogic haz ficant d tructura <i>I note t</i>	zards or S amage/de I system he follow	oil Type terioratio	F on to ST = Esti	□ Y □ N de ⊠ N mated o	es, nonst o, nonstr etailed ev o, no nor or unrelia	ructural uctural h aluation istructur	hazards nazards (is not no al hazar a <u>OR</u>	identifie exist that ecessary ds identif	d that shi t may req fied [Do Not K	ould be er uire mitig	valuated ation, but	ta

