Source: University of California, Santa Cruz





Rating form completed by: Page: 000001 MAFFEI STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING maffei-structure.com

Noelle Yuen, Joe Maffei


Text in green is to be part of UC Santa Cruz building database and may be part of UCOP database

UC Santa Cruz building seismic ratings Theater Arts C Studio Building

CAAN #7313 463 Kerr Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 UCSC Campus: Main Campus







Rating summary	Entry	Notes
UC Seismic Performance Level (rating)	V (Poor)	
Rating basis	Tier 1	ASCE 41-17 ¹
Date of rating	2019	
Recommended UC Santa Cruz priority category for retrofit	Priority A	Priority A=Retrofit ASAP Priority B=Retrofit at next permit application
Ballpark total construction cost to retrofit to IV rating ²	Medium (\$50- \$200/sf)	See recommendations on further evaluation and retrofit.
Is 2018-2019 rating required by UCOP?	Yes	Building was not previously rated
Further evaluation recommended?	Tier 2	Focused on walkway, lateral force path at low and high roofs, and lack of hold-downs at walls

¹ We translate this Tier 1 evaluation to a Seismic Performance Level rating using professional judgment. Non-compliant items in the Tier 1 evaluation do not automatically put a building into a particular rating category, but we evaluate such items along with the combination of building features and potential deficiencies, focused on the potential for collapse or serious damage to the gravity supporting structure that may threaten occupant safety. See Section III B of the UC Seismic Policy and Method B of Section 321 of the 2016 California Existing Building Code.

² Per Section 3.A.4.i of the Seismic Program Guidebook, the cost includes all construction cost necessitated by the seismic retrofit, including restoration of finishes and any triggered work on utilities or accessibility. It does not include soft costs such as design fees or campus costs. The cost is in 2019 dollars

Building information used in this evaluation

- Architectural drawings by Ralph Rapson and Associates Inc., "Performing Arts Building, University of California Santa Cruz," as-built dated 30 June 1969
- Structural drawings by Pregnoff and Matheu, "Performing Arts Building, University of California Santa Cruz," asbuilt dated 30 June 1969
- University of California Facilities Link building database information, "7313" provided by José Sanchez (UCSC) on 2019-05-30.

Additional building information known to exist

None

Scope for completing this form

We reviewed the structural drawings for the original construction and carried out a site visit to verify that the existing drawings matched the existing structure to the best of our knowledge. An ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 evaluation was completed. We did not perform an ASCE 41 Tier 1 nonstructural evaluation, but we looked for potentially hazardous nonstructural components during our site visit.

Brief description of structure

Theater Arts C Studio is one of a cluster of eleven buildings that forms the Theater Arts complex. The complex was designed in 1969 by the architectural office of Ralph Rapson and Associates and the structural office of Pregnoff and Matheu.

The building is 2 story structure that contains approximately 8800 square feet. The building is rectangular in plan, with a building footprint approximately 45' x 120' at Level 1. The structure measures 27 feet from the grade at Level 1 to the highest point of the sloping roof. The roof structure consists of a high roof running along the south side of the building, and a low roof along the north side of the building. The low roof includes a long skylight that extends almost the entire length of the roof. At Level 2, there is a partial second floor which is integrally connected to an exterior walkway.

The exterior walkway at Level 2 connects this building to Theater Arts A (CAAN 7311), Theater Arts B (CAAN 7312), Theater Arts D (CAAN 7314), and Theater Arts E (CAAN 7315). The walkway consists of a concrete topping slab over wood framing, and is attached to each building with no seismic separation joints.

The roof of this building is connected to Theater Arts D.

Identification of levels: Level 1 (elevation 694.0') at grade, Level 2 (elevation 704.0'), Roof (721.0' at highest point)

<u>Foundation system</u>: The superstructure is founded on shallow strip footings located around the building perimeter and under the interior wood bearing wall and posts. The site is relatively level. The Level 1 floor is slab on grade.

<u>Structural system for vertical (gravity) load:</u> The Level 2 floor is framed with wood joists spanning between wood bearing walls. These joists cantilever beyond the building exterior wall on the south side of the building to form a Level 2 walkway. The low roof consists of wood joists spanning between steel beams that are supported on wood posts at each end. The high roof consists of wood joists spanning between wood bearing walls.

<u>Structural system for lateral forces</u>: Plywood sheathed floor and roof diaphragms transfer lateral inertial forces from floors (and roof) to plywood sheathed wood walls. The low roof diaphragm is interrupted by a skylight that runs almost the entire length of the building, such that in the north-south direction the low roof diaphragm connects to the plywood shear wall only at the 20' long stair wall.

<u>Structural system for walkway:</u> The walkway is approximately 7' wide, constructed with wood joists spanning across the width of the walkway, topped with plywood sheathing, a waterproofing membrane, and a sloped concrete topping slab 2.75" thick on average. Gravity support for the joists is provided on one side by the building adjacent to the walkway, and on the other side by steel W-beams supported by wood posts. Where the walkway is perpendicular to the building, steel beams support the joists for the walkway, and the steel beams are supported by

wood posts that are located within the exterior walls of the building. The walkway does not have its own lateral system and is supported for lateral demands by the adjacent buildings.

Brief description of seismic deficiencies and expected seismic performance including mechanism of nonlinear response and structural behavior modes

Identified seismic deficiencies of the building include the following:

- The Level 2 exterior walkway connects five buildings CAAN 7311, 7312, 7313, 7314, and 7315. There are no seismic separations in the walkway between buildings, and differential movement between the buildings could cause damage in the walkway.
- At the stair between gridlines O and Q, the walkway joists are supported on a ledger nailed to the face of the south exterior wall of this building at one end of the joist, and to the face of the north exterior wall of Building D (CAAN 7314) at the other end of the joist. Differential movement between the buildings could cause the walkway joists to lose their support.
- There appears to be no vertical connection of the walls through the floors, and no hold-downs at the base of the walls. This is especially a concern at the short walls in the north-south direction.
- The high roof has only one line of shear walls in the east-west (longitudinal) direction. This results in plan torsion at the high roof, but the roof diaphragm is a flexible diaphragm and cannot accommodate torsion.
- The low roof has a skylight opening for almost full length of the building, and the low roof diaphragm is connected to the shear walls below for only a 20' length of the 120' total length of the building. It is unclear whether the diaphragm has adequate collectors and strength to deliver its inertial force to the wall over this short length.

Structural deficiency	Affects rating?	Structural deficiency	Affects rating?
Lateral system stress check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as applicable)	Ν	Openings at shear walls (concrete or masonry)	Ν
Load path	Ν	Liquefaction	N
Adjacent buildings	Y	Slope failure	N
Weak story	Ν	Surface fault rupture	Ν
Soft story	Ν	Masonry or concrete wall anchorage at flexible diaphragm	N
Geometry (vertical irregularities)	Ν	URM wall height-to-thickness ratio	Ν
Torsion	Y	URM parapets or cornices	N
Mass – vertical irregularity	Ν	URM chimney	N
Cripple walls	Ν	Heavy partitions braced by ceilings	Ν
Wood sills (bolting)	Ν	Appendages	Ν
Diaphragm continuity	Y		

Summary of review of non-structural life-safety concerns, including at exit routes.³

We walked through all floors of the building and we looked for potentially hazardous nonstructural components during our site visit on 13 June 2019. As shown in the table below, no non-structural hazards were observed inside the building. The exterior walkway is a potential life safety concern, because it is connected to multiple buildings at Level 2, with no seismic separation joints between buildings. Review of details of construction of the connection of the walkway to the building and locating seismic separation joints should be the focus of further review and retrofit for nonstructural hazards.

³ For these Tier 1 evaluations, we do not visit all spaces of the building; we rely on campus staff to report to us their understanding of the type and location of potential non-structural hazards.

UCOP non-structural checklist item		UCOP non-structural checklist item	Life safety hazard?
Heavy ceilings, feature or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate	None observed	Unrestrained hazardous materials storage	None observed
Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways and public access areas	None observed	Masonry chimneys	None observed
Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways and public access areas	None observed	Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc.	None observed

Discussion of rating

The rating of V (Poor) is because of the potential life safety hazard of the exterior walkway, as well as the lack of a seismic-force path at the high roof.

Recommendations for further evaluation or retrofit

We recommend that the Campus perform a more detailed review of the adequacy of the short walls for both capacity and for floor-to-wall hold-down connections, and of the high and low roof diaphragms. The drawings are not clear, but possibly Building D (CAAN 7313) roof shares a common wood shear wall with this building. This should be investigated further in a Tier 2 analysis. The campus should also check the condition of the exterior walkway and consider providing separation joints in the walkway between buildings. We put the building on Priority Category A, because the walkway modifications should be done as soon as possible.

Peer review of rating

This seismic evaluation was discussed in a peer review meeting on 24 June 2019. Reviewers present were Bret Lizundia of R+C and Jay Yin of Degenkolb. Comments from the reviewers have been incorporated into this report. The reviewers agreed with the assigned rating.

Additional building data	Entry	Notes
Latitude	36.995140	
Longitude	-122.062352	
Are there other structures besides this one under the same CAAN#	Yes	Exterior walkway structure
Number of stories above lowest perimeter grade	2	
Number of stories (basements) below lowest perimeter grade	0	
Building occupiable area (OGSF)	8827 sq. ft.	
Risk Category per 2016 CBC Table 1604.5	П	Educational occupancy (classrooms)
Building structural height, h _n	24 ft	Structural height defined per ASCE 7-16 Section 11.2
Coefficient for period, Ct	0.020	Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18
Coefficient for period, eta	0.75	Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18
Estimated fundamental period	0.22 sec	Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18

Site data		
975 yr hazard parameters S_s , S_1	1.286, 0.488	
Site class	D	
Site class basis ⁴	Geotech	See footnote below
Site parameters F_a , F_v^5	1, 1.81	
Ground motion parameters S_{cs} , S_{c1}	1.286, 0.885	
S_a at building period	1.28	
Site V _{s30}	900 ft/s	
V _{s30} basis	Estimated	Estimated based on site classification of D
Liquefaction potential	Low	
Liquefaction assessment basis	County map	See footnote below
Landslide potential	Low	
Landslide assessment basis	County map	See footnote below
Active fault-rupture identified at site?	No	
Fault rupture assessment basis	County map	See footnote below
Site-specific ground motion study?	No	
Applicable code		
Applicable code or approx. date of original construction	Designed: 1969 Code: 1967 UBC	Code inferred based on design year
Applicable code for partial retrofit	None	None
Applicable code for full retrofit	None	None
Model building data		
Model building type North-South	W2 – Wood frame	
Model building type East-West	W2 – Wood frame	
FEMA P-154 score	N/A	Not included here. Tier 1 evaluation.
Previous ratings		
Most recent rating	None	
Date of most recent rating	-	
2 nd most recent rating	-	
Date of 2 nd most recent rating		


⁴ Determination of site class and assessment of geotechnical hazards are based on correspondence with Pacific Crest Geotechnical Engineers and Nolan, Zinn, and Associates Geologists. [*Revised Geology and Geologic Hazards, Santa Cruz Campus, University of California*, Job # 04003-SC 13 May 2005]. Site class is taken as D throughout the main campus of UC Santa Cruz. The following links provide hazard maps for liquefaction, landslide, and fault rupture:

https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/LiquifactionMap2009.pdf https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/LandslideMap2009.pdf https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/FaultZoneMap2009.pdf


⁵ F_V factor used does not include the requirements of Section 11.4.8-3 of ASCE 7-16 that are applicable to Site Class D, and which per Exception 2 would result in an effective F_V factor of 2.72 (1.5 times larger). At the Santa Cruz main campus this only affects structures with T>0.69 seconds. We understand that the appropriateness of this requirement of Section 11.4.8 might be reviewed by UCOP.

3 rd most recent rating	-	
Date of 3 rd most recent rating	-	
Appendices		
ASCE 41 Tier 1 checklist included here?	Yes	Refer to attached checklist file


Annotated plan (Level 2 shown)



Annotated plan (Low roof shown)




Building section (looking west)




Plan at walkway:

- Locations where walkway W-beams are supported on posts located within building exterior walls shown:
- Locations where walkway joists are attached to ledger nailed to face of building exterior wall shown:



Site map at Theater Arts complex



- Theatre Arts A Main Stage (CAAN 7311)
- Theater Arts B Drama (CAAN 7312)
- Theater Arts C Studio (CAAN 7313)
- Theater Arts D Student Production (CAAN 7314)
- Theater Arts E Classroom (CAAN 7315)
- Theater Arts F Ticket Office (CAAN 7316)
- Theater Arts G Toilet Room (CAAN 7317)
- Theater Arts H Second Stage (CAAN 7318)
- Theater Arts I Second Stage Annex (CAAN 7319)
- Theater Arts J Office (CAAN 7320)
- Theater Arts L Experimental Theater (CAAN 7293)
- Theater Arts M Media Theater (CAAN 7294)

South building elevation



North building elevation



UC Campu	S: UC Santa C	UC Santa Cruz			6/30/2019	
Building CAAN	N: 7313	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Maffei S	Structural Engi	ineering
Building Name	e: TA Studi	0	Initials:	NY	Checked:	ЈМ
Building Address	S: 463 Kerr Road, Santa	Cruz, CA 95064	Page:	1	of	3
C	A Collapse Prevention	SCE 41-1 Basic Co		Check	list	
LOW SEISMI	CITY					
BUILDING SYS	TEMS - GENERAL					
		De	scription			
C NC N/A U C C C C	LOAD PATH: The structure contains a serves to transfer the inertial forces ass Sec. A.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1)					
	Comments: The high roof has complete load path for the roof si					
	ADJACENT BUILDINGS: The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2)				-	
	Comments: No seismic joints at	walkway betwe	en buildings			
0000	MEZZANINES: Interior mezzanine leve force-resisting elements of the main str					the seismic-
	Comments: No mezzanine					
BUILDING SYS	TEMS - BUILDING CONF					
		De	scription			
	WEAK STORY: The sum of the shear less than 80% of the strength in the adj					ection is not
	Comments:					
0000	SOFT STORY: The stiffness of the sei resisting system stiffness in an adjacent of the three stories above. (Commental	t story above or les	s than 80% of the avera			
	Comments:					
	VERTICAL IRREGULARITIES: All verti (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4. Tier 2: Sec		e seismic-force-resisting	g system are	continuous to the	e foundation.
	Comments: Wall at gridline 36 is	s horizontally of	set from the wall be	elow.		

UC Campu	us: UC S	UC Santa Cruz			6/30/2019	
Building CAA	N: 7313	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Maffei Structural Engineerir		neering
Building Nam	ne: TA	Studio	Initials:	itials: NY Checked:		ЈМ
Building Addres	SS: 463 Kerr Road, S	anta Cruz, CA 95064	Page:	2	of	3
ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist GEOMETRY: There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30 in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5. Tier Sec. 5.4.2.4) Comments:						
	MASS: There is no change in ef mezzanines need not be conside Comments:	red. (Commentary: Sec. A.2	.2.6. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4	.2.5)		
	TORSION: The estimated distan the building width in either plan d Comments: Does not comp	imension. (Commentary: Se	c. A.2.2.7. Tier 2: Se	c. 5.4.2.6)	f rigidity is less tha	an 20% of

MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY)

GEOLC	GIC	SI1	TE HAZARD
			Description
\frown			
	N/A C	U C	LIQUEFACTION: Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2m) under the building. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1)
			Comments:
	N/A C	U	SLOPE FAILURE: The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1)
			Comments:
	N/A	U	SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1)
			Comments:

UC Campus	S: UC Santa	Cruz	Date:		6/30/2019	
Building CAAN	l: 7313	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Maffei Structural Engineering		neering
Building Name	e: TA Stud	TA Studio		NY	Checked:	ЈМ
Building Address	6: 463 Kerr Road, Santa	ı Cruz, CA 95064	Page:	3	of	3
С	ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist					
	HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR MODERATE SEISMICITY)					
FOUNDATION	CONFIGURATION					
		Descript	ion			
0000	OVERTURNING: The ratio of the leas the building height (base/height) is gro Comments: Controlling wall is	eater than $0.6S_a$. (Commen	tary: Sec. A.6.2.	1. Tier 2: See	c. 5.4.3.3)	ation level to
0000	TIES BETWEEN FOUNDATION ELE piles, and piers are not restrained by Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4) Comments:					

UC Campus:

6/30/3019

Date:

Building CAAN	۱: 7313	7313 Auxiliary CAAN: By Firm: Maffei Structural Engir		neering				
Building Name	e: TA Stu	dio	Initials:	NY	Checked:	JМ		
Building Address	s: 463 Kerr Road, Sant	463 Kerr Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064		1	of	4		
ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist For Building Type W2								
LOW AND M	ODERATE SEISMICI	ТҮ						
SEISMIC-FORC	E-RESISTING SYSTEM							
			ription					
C NC N/A U C C C C	REDUNDANCY: The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1) Comments: The high roof diaphragm has only one line of shear walls in the east-west (longitudinal) direction.							
	SHEAR STRESS CHECK: The sheat 4.4.3.3, is less than the following value					e of Section		
-	F	Structural panel sheath	ning 1,000 lb/f	ft				
	_	Diagonal sheathing	700 lb/ft					
		Straight sheathing All other conditions	100 lb/ft 100 lb/ft					
	Comments: Maximum shea At low roof, assumed length 36 to account for the skyligh 514#/If. STUCCO (EXTERIOR PLASTER) SI	of wall included the t opening in the dia	north exterior wal phragm. Resultin	l and only ng maximu ely on exteri	20' of the wall a im shear at low	at gridline roof was		
	seismic-force-resisting system. (Com Comments:	mentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.2	2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6	5.1)				
	GYPSUM WALLBOARD OR PLASTER SHEAR WALLS: Interior plaster or gypsum wallboard is not used for shear walls on buildings more than one story high with the exception of the uppermost level of a multi-story building. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) Comments:							
	NARROW WOOD SHEAR WALLS: Narrow wood shear walls with an aspect ratio greater than 2-to-1 are not used to resist seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) Comments:							
	WALLS CONNECTED THROUGH F and shear forces through the floor. (C Comments: No hold-downs	Commentary: Sec. A.3.2	2.7.5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5		stories to transfer	overturning		

UC Santa Cruz

UC Campu	S: UC Santa	Cruz		Date:	e: 6/30/3019			
Building CAA	N: 7313	Auxiliary CAAN:		By Firm:	Maffei S	Maffei Structural Engineering		
Building Nam	e: TA Stuc	dio		Initials:	NY	Checked:	ЈМ	
Building Addres	S: 463 Kerr Road, Santa	Cruz, CA 950	64	Page:	2 of 4			
	A Comments: Not a hillside site (Comments: Not a hillside site (Comments: Not a hillside site) (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.7. Tier 2: 3)	re taller on at le e an aspect ratio e v first-floor-leve	ast one side b less than 1-to	ny more than oi o-1. (Comment	ne-half story ary: Sec. A.3	because of a slo 3.2.7.6. Tier 2: Se	ping site, all c. 5.5.3.6.3)	
	OPENINGS: Walls with openings gre aspect ratios of not more than 1.5-to-1 the seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec Comments:	or are supporte	ed by adjacent	construction th				
CONNECTION	>		Descriptio	n				
C NC N/A U	WOOD POSTS: There is a positive c 5.7.3.3) Comments:	onnection of w	-		(Commenta	ry: Sec. A.5.3.3.	Tier 2: Sec.	
	WOOD SILLS: All wood sills are bolte Comments:		·	-				
	GIRDER/COLUMN CONNECTION: T the girder and the column support. (Co Comments:					nardware, or stra	aps between	


UC Campus:	UC Santa	Date:	6/30/3019					
Building CAAN:	7313	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Maffei Structural Engineering				
Building Name:	TA Stu	oibu	Initials:	NY Checked: JM				
Building Address:	463 Kerr Road, Sant	Page:	3	of	4			
Collapse	ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist For Building Type W2							

HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW AND MODERATE SEISMICITY)

CONNECTIONS

		Description
N/A	U	WOOD SILL BOLTS: Sill bolts are spaced at 6 ft (1.8 m) or less with acceptable edge and end distance provided for wood and concrete. (Commentary: A.5.3.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3)
		Comments:
RAG	MS	
		Description
N/A C	U	DIAPHRAGM CONTINUITY: The diaphragms are not composed of split-level floors and do not have expansion joints. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) Comments:
N/A C	U	ROOF CHORD CONTINUITY: All chord elements are continuous, regardless of changes in roof elevation. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) Comments:
N/A	U	DIAPHRAGM REINFORCEMENT AT OPENINGS: There is reinforcing around all diaphragm openings larger than 50% of the building width in either major plan dimension. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.5) Comments: Chord provided at skylight at low roof
N/A	U	STRAIGHT SHEATHING: All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) Comments: Wood structural panels at all diaphragms
N/A C	U	SPANS: All wood diaphragms with spans greater than 24 ft (7.3 m) consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) Comments: Wood structural panels at all diaphragms

UC Camp	ous: UC	UC Santa Cruz		6/30/3019		
Building CA	AN: 7313	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Maffei Structural Engineering		
Building Na	me: 1	TA Studio	Initials:	NY Checked: JM		
Building Addre	ess: 463 Kerr Road	l, Santa Cruz, CA 95064	Page:	4	of	4
Collap	se Prevention St	tructural Check	list For Bu	ildina	Type W2	2
CNC N/A U	DIAGONALLY SHEATHED AN	ND UNBLOCKED DIAPHRAGM bans less than 40 ft (12.2 m) and	IS: All diagonally she	athed or un	blocked wood stru	ictural pan



www.maffei-structure.com

Page: 000020

Project:_____ Subject:_____ By:_____ Date:

SEISMIC EVALUATION OF EXISTING BUILDINGS - TIER 1 SCREENING

ASCE 41-17 Chapter 4


MAFFEI

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

General			Reference
Architect	Ralph Rapson a	nd Associates Inc	
Structural Engineer	Pregnoff & Mat	heu	
Location	463 Kerr Road		
Design date	1971		
Latitude	36.995361		(Google Earth)
Longitude	-122.06144		н
Stories above grade	2	*MSE rule for establishing occupant load for risk category is ne	and a Hara Lassuma 20 pat of/parson
Seismic parameters		per 2016 CBC table 1004.1.2 for "Educational, classroom" func reference for this assumption, I saw it mentioned online in a d = Net square feet. Therefore, 20640 sf/100 = 206 and 20640 s Propose to use a similar approach for other buildings.	tion or 100 gross sf/person (need a good iscussion). Assume 0.6Gross square feet
Risk Category	Ш	2016 CBC Table 1604.5	
Site Class	D	https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/urban/sfb	(ASCE 41-17 2.4.1.6, ASCE 7-16 bay/soilt Chapter 20)
Liquefaction hazard	Low	http://data-sccgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/77d380d355934b38a44894154377e28d_62	(ASCE 41-17 3.3.4)
Landslide hazard	Low	http://data-sccgis.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/7984aabd55ec4a4794ae33d7919bd9c7_133	
S _{DS}	1.087	Based on ASCE 7-16 DE, used to de https://hazards.atcour "Level of Seismicity"	etermine (ASCE 41-17 Eq 2-4)
S _{D1}	N/A	Based on ASCE 7-16 DE, used to de https://hazards.atcouncil.org/ "Level of Seismicity"	etermine (ASCE 41-17 Eq 2-5)
S _{xs}	1.281	For BSE-2E hazard level	(ASCE 41-17 Table 2-2)
S _{X1}	0.88	For BSE-2E hazard level	(ASCE 41-17 Table 2-2)
Scope			
Performance level	Collapse Prever	iton	(ASCE 41-17 Table 2-2)
Seismic hazard level	BSE-2E		(ASCE 41-17 Table 2-2)
Level of seismicity	High		(ASCE 41-17 Table 2-4)
Building type	W2: Wood Fran	nes, Commerical and Industrial	(ASCE 41-17 Table 3-1)
Material properties		Notes	

Material pro	perties			Notes	
Concrete	f' _c	4000	psi	Specified on drawings, NWC	(ASCE 41-17 Table 10-4)
Reinf.	f_y	60	ksi	#6 and larger A432	(ASCE 41-17 Table 10-4)
	f_y	40	ksi	All other bars A-15 Intermediate	(ASCE 41-17 Table 10-4)
Steel	Fy	N/A	ksi	N/A	(ASCE 41-17 Table 9-1)

7313-ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Quick Checks.xlsx | Sheet1



MAFFE

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

www.maffei-structure.com

Page: 000021 Project:_____ Subject:_____ Ву:_____ Date:_____

Checklists

Benchmark building	No					(ASCE 41-17 Table 3-2)
Checklist(s) req'd	17.1.2 Basic Cor	nfiguration				(ASCE 41-17 Table 4-6)
	17.12 Structura	l Checklist fo	or Building Ty	pes W2		(ASCE 41-17 Table 4-6)
	17.19 Nonstruc	tural Checkli	ist	(not performed))	(ASCE 41-17 Table 4-6)
Seismic forces						
V	436	kip	$V = Cs_a W$		= 1.41W	(ASCE 41-17 Eq 4-1)
W	309	kip	building we	ight		(ASCE 41-17 4.4.2.1)
C	1 1		Comment line			

W	309	kip	building weight
С	1.1		Convert linear elastic to inelastic disp.
S _a	1.28	g	$S_a = S_{x1}/T \leq S_{XS}$
Т	0.22	sec	$T = C_t h_n^{\beta}$
<i>C</i> _t	0.020		
β	0.75		
h _n	24	ft	building height

(ASCE 41-17 Table 4-7) (ASCE 41-17 Eq 4-3) (ASCE 41-17 Eq 4-4) (ASCE 41-17 Eq 4-4) (ASCE 41-17 Eq 4-4) (ASCE 41-17 Eq 4-4)

Story Forces	1				(4	SCE 41-17 4-2a) (ASCE 41-17 4-2b)
Story	W	story ht	h	wh ^k	F _{story}	F _{story}	V _{story}
	kip	ft	ft			kip	kip
High Roof	101.5	8.5	24	2385	0.32	141	141
Low Roof	207.7	5.0	15	3116	0.42	185	326
2	185.5	10.0	10	1855	0.25	110	436
Total	309			7356	1.0	436	
k	1.00	<i>k</i> = 1.0 for <i>T</i> < 0).5, 2.0 for <i>T</i>	⁻ > 2.5, linear i	interpolatio	n between	
$F_{story} = V(wh)$	h^{k})/(Σ wh k	⁽)		(ASCE 41-17 4-2	2a)		

 $F_{story} = V(wh^{k})/(\Sigma wh^{k})$

 $V_{story} = \Sigma_{above} F_{story}$

(ASCE 41-17 4-2b)

www.maffei-structure.com

200 ft

Project:_____ Subject:_____ Ву:_____ Date:

Shear stress	s in shear w	valls (/	ASCE 41-17 4-8) (ASCE 41-17 4-8	3)	
Story	Lw N-S	Lw E-W	V _{NS} ^{avg}	V _{EW} avg	D/C _{NS}	D/C _{EW}
	ft	ft	p/ft	p/ft		
High Roof	90	40	349	785	0.3	0.8
Low Roof	120	80	342	513	0.3	0.5
2	170	200	570	484	0.6	0.5
Total						
M _s	4.50			(ASCE 41-17	Table 4-8)	
V _{limit}	1000	p/ft				
$v^{avg} = (1/M)$	$_{\rm s}$)(V $_{\rm story}$ /L	,)		(ASCE 41-17	Eq 4-8)	
Calculate le	ngths of wa	alls for Tier 1 Qu	ick Check			
N-S	High roof	9 walls @	10' long ea.	=	90	ft
	Low roof	4 walls @	30' long ea.	=	120	ft
	Level 2	2 walls @	30' long ea.	=	60	
		2 walls @	20' long ea.	=	40	
		7 walls @	10' long ea.	=	70	
					170	ft
E-W	High roof	10 walls @	4' long ea.	=	40	ft
	Low roof	8 walls @	10' long ea.	=	80	ft
	Level 2	8 walls @	10' long ea.	=	80	
		7 walls @	10' long ea.	=	70	