

## UC Santa Cruz building seismic ratings <br> Visual Arts Facilities-Building C

CAAN \#7495
433 Baskin Arts Service Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064
UCSC Campus: Main Campus

Partial West Elevation (Looking Southeast)



| Rating summary | Entry | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UC Seismic Performance Level | V (Poor) |  |
| (rating) |  |  |

Rating basis
Tier 1
2019
Recommended UC Santa Cruz priority category for retrofit

Ballpark total construction cost to retrofit to IV rating ${ }^{2}$

Is 2018-2019 rating required by UCOP?

Further evaluation recommended?

Category B

Medium
(\$50-\$200/sf)

Yes

Yes

[^0]
## Building information used in this evaluation

- Architectural drawings by Marquis Associates, "Visual Arts Facilities, University of California, Sant Cruz," dated 14 December 1983, Sheets A2.1, A2.3 and A3.1-A7.2 pertinent to Buildings ' $A$ ', ' $B^{\prime}$, ' $C^{\prime}$ ', and ' $D$ '.
- Structural drawings by E.G. Hirsch \& Associates, "Visual Arts Facilities, University of California, Sant Cruz," dated14 December 1983, Sheets S1, S2, S4 and S6-S8 pertinent to Buildings ' $A^{\prime}$, ' $B^{\prime}$,' $C^{\prime}$ ', and ' $D$ '.
- Architectural drawings by BOORA Architects, "University of California Santa Cruz - Teaching Facilities, Theater Arts, and Studio Arts," dated 1 November 1996, Sheet A121, A141, A221, A311 and A321-A833 pertinent to north addition of Building ' C '.
- Structural drawings by kpff Consulting Engineers, "University of California Santa Cruz - Teaching Facilities, Theater Arts, and Studio Arts," dated 1 November 1996, Sheets S1, S121, S122, S201, S202 and S303 pertinent to north addition of Building ' C '.


## Additional building information known to exist

None

## Scope for completing this form

Reviewed structural drawings for original and addition construction, made a brief site on May 23, 2019, and carried out ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 evaluation.

## Brief description of structure

Baskin Building $C$ is one of a cluster of seven similar buildings that form the visual art studios for the Department of Art. The Theater Arts complex is to the west; McHenry Library is to the northeast; and the Digital Arts Research Center is to the south. The original construction, an approximately $24^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime} \times 40^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ rectangular section with the longer side running E-W, is estimated to have been completed in 1984. Then, in 1996, an addition of $32^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ by $32^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ was built to the north and was structurally connected to the original building. The original portion was designed by architects Marquis Associates, and E.G. Hirsch \& Associates was the structural engineer. The addition is by Boora Architects and kpff consulting engineers.

The building has approximately 2,212 square feet. Buildings $A$ and $B$ are to the north and west across the North Courtyard; Buildings E and F are to the south across the Center Courtyard. The building site is sloping down to northeast. The first floors of both the original and addition are supported by floor beams spanning between concrete stem walls, and the south addition features two equipment rooms at the northeast corner on the lower level.

The original portion is a one-story structure and is comprised of diagonal wood braced frames and plywood shear walls in the E-W direction and plywood shear walls in the N-S direction. The first floor, due to the slope of the site, is supported by $2 \times 10$ floor joists that span in the N-S direction between exterior walls and a midspan interior cripple stud wall line. The roof diaphragm slopes from the upper beam of the braced frame on the north ( $22^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ elevation) to the top of the exterior wood stud wall on the south ( $9^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ elevation). The diagonal wood braced frame is comprised of a glulam beam at $22^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ elevation, $51 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ wide $\times 71 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ deep, made of Douglas Fir that runs continuously between interior posts and end posts; a sawn lumber lower wind girt at $11^{\prime}-7$ " elevation, $14^{\prime \prime}$ wide $\times 4$ " deep, made of Douglas Fir No. 1 Grade or better that spans between posts and connects with exterior stud wall below; four 8"x4" diagonals made of Douglas Fir No. 1 Grade or better that spans between glulam and wind girt/post work point; two $8 \times 8$ continuous interior posts and two $6 \times 6$ interior posts. Full-height wood stud wall, $2 \times 6$ at $24^{\prime \prime}$ o.c., span continuously from the concrete foundation to the roof at the east, west and south exterior of the building. However, at the north side, the exterior wall ends at the wind girt with glazing above to the bottom of the glulam beam.

The 1996 addition contains one story fully above grade and a daylight basement of two rooms accessible from the north side of the building. The first floor is supported over single-span 14 " deep TJI floor joists that span in the E-W direction between the exterior wall and the interior wall. The roof is comprised of metal roof decking running E-W direction over two $51 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ wide x $191 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ deep glulam beams. The glulam beams are supported by TS4×4×1/4 steel posts on the north end and by the glulam girder of the same size on the south end. Additional shallower glulam beams are added around pop-up skylight. The glulam girder spans between the TS5x5x5/16 steel posts that align with the existing $8 \times 8$ posts, between which is the opening that connects the original and the addition. Existing wall studs and plywood within the opening were removed below the roof height of the addition - approximately $1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
below the existing wind girt. New $2 x$ double plates were added to the bottom of the remaining wall studs, and the studs are supported by two 16 d nails in shear at each stud to a continuous 12 gauge bent plate that is screwed to the top of the glulam girder per Detail 17/S303.
Identification of levels: The building site slopes down to the northeast. There is a small partial daylight basement at the north end of the addition for mechanical equipment, identified on the addition drawings as the "compressor floor." The remainder of the lowest level is crawl space. The main or first floor level is the same for both the the original portion and the addition.

## Foundation system:

- Original construction: The original perimeter walls bear on a curb supported by the thickened edge of the slab and then a continuous grade beam. The original interior bearing walls are supported by the thickened slab and then a continuous grade beam. These grade beams are $1^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ wide $\times 1^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ minimum deep grade beam reinforced with \#3 stirrups at $12^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. Braced frame posts are supported by $1^{\prime} 0 \prime \times 1^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ pedestals integrated with the slab on $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 1^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ minimum deep spread footings. All wood posts, $6 \times 6 \mathrm{~s}$ end posts, and $8 x 8$ s intermediate posts are anchored into the concrete curbs and thickened slab with anchor bolts.
- Addition: All bearing walls in the addition, including both the perimeter walls and interior walls, bear on top of a $6^{\prime \prime}$ wide concrete stem wall, reinforced with \#4 bars at $16^{\prime \prime}$ on center each way, that is supported by $8^{\prime \prime}$ thick $\times 1^{\prime}-6$ " wide strip footing with three \#4 longitudinal bars and matching dowels to the stem wall above.


## Structural system for vertical (gravity) load:

- Original construction: The floor is comprised of $11 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ plywood sheathing atop $2 \times 10$ floor joist at 16 " on center. Joists are single-span between the perimeter walls and butt joint at the interior bearing wall. The sawtooth roof is comprised of $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ plywood sheathing spanning atop $2 \times 12$ wood joists spaced at $16^{\prime \prime}$ on center, with a low end at the south side and a high end at to the north above a clerestory window. The north eave is supported by a wood truss. Joists are supported at the high end to wood braced frame glulam beams with face mount joist hangers and bearing on top of wood bearing wall on the other end. Glulam beams span continuously between end posts and over interior posts. Walls use $2 x 6$ studs at 24 " o.c. with 5/8-inch plywood sheathing.
- Addition: The floor is comprised of $11 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ plywood sheathing atop $14^{\prime \prime}$ TJI spaced at $16^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. The roof is framed with $5-1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ by $19 \frac{1}{2 \prime \prime}$ glulam beam framing and $3^{\prime \prime}$ deep 20 gauge cellular metal roof decking. Glulam beams are supported by TS $4 \times 4 \times 1 / 4$ structural steel tube posts or same-size glulam girder that bears on TS5 $\times 5 \times 5 / 16$ structural tube posts.


## Structural system for lateral forces:

- Original Construction: At the floor level, lateral forces are transferred from the plywood floor diaphragm through Simpson L30 clips on each side of the joists to the $3 \times 6$ sill and then into the concrete stem wall with $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter anchor bolts at $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. in the $N-S$ direction. In the E-W direction, lateral forces are transferred through $2 x$ rim joist to the concrete stem wall with $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter bolts at $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. When the floor joist pulls away from the perimeter stem wall in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ direction, the 2 x rim joist is in cross-grain bending. This connection is shown in Detail 19 on Sheet S-7. At the roof level, in N-S direction, lateral forces are transferred from the plywood roof diaphragm through blocking at the eave to the top plate of the plywood shear walls per Detail 6 on Sheet S-8. The $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ plywood has 10d at $6^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. edge nailing. Loads at the base of the wall go into the continuous curb from the $3 \times 6$ sill through $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter anchor bolts at 4'-0" o.c. per Detail 16 on Sheet S-7. In the E-W direction, the plywood roof diaphragm spans between the upper beam of the wood braced frame at the north ridge and the shear wall at the south. More specifically, at the ridge, shear in the plywood is delivered into the upper beams through $2 x$ blocking between each rafter (Detail 1 on Sheet S-8). At the low end, the plywood is nailed to $2 x$ blocking and which is in turn face nailed to the $2 x$ blocking on the top plate of the plywood shear walls (Detail 5 on Sheet S-8). The braced frame has two " $\gamma$ " shapes each superimposed on a single center column. The top of the " $Y$ " is connected to the top glulam beam and the midheight of the " $Y$ " connects to the low wind girt which in turn is
connected to a plywood shear wall. The braces are connected to the center post and to the beam/girt with steel side plates and $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ diameter machine bolts typically in single shear. Details are on Sheet S-7.
- Addition: At the roof level, in-plane lateral forces from the metal roof decking are delivered through an L3x3x1/4 angle ledger either to the continuous $2 x$ blocking or to the $2 \times 2 \times 1 / 4$ angle strap spaced at $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. (i.e. occur every other studs as the wall studs are spaced at 24 " o.c. typ.) then into the exterior wood shear wall. Out-of-plane forces are transferred either through the \#10 screws from the angle ledger to each wood shear wall studs directly or through the angle strap and $3 / 4 / 10$ diameter through bolt into every other stud of the wood shear wall. This connection is shown in Detail 16 on Sheet S303. Except at the south, where the metal decking is supported atop the glulam girder, lateral forces is transferred through angle ledger to the wall sheathing directly per Detail 17 on Sheet S303. At the floor level, in N-S direction, lateral forces are transferred from the plywood floor diaphragm through TJI blockings between the floor joists into the plywood cripple wall and then into the concrete stem wall via the $3 \times 6$ sill with $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ diameter anchor bolts at $3^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ o.c. (Details 17 and 19 on Sheet S201). In E-W direction, lateral forces are transferred from the diaphragm directly into the plywood shear wall per Detail 18 on Sheet S201 and then into concrete stem wall with the same sill and anchor bolts as $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ direction.


## Brief description of seismic deficiencies and expected seismic performance including mechanism of nonlinear response and structural behavior modes

Identified seismic deficiencies of the building include the following:

- The braced frames rely on a complicated set of force transfer details that include bolts in shear in the wood. These details have reduced end distances (4D rather than 7D) and limited ductility compared to a plywood shear wall that dissipates energy though nails in bending, and they are ultimately likely to lead to longitudinal splitting of the wood. This is an unusual structural system not covered by the wood frame Tier 1 checklists of ASCE 4117. A Tier 2 deficiency-based analysis of the frames, their internal connections, and their connections to the shear walls is needed to understand better the capacity and performance of this lateral force-resisting system.
- Possible damage to the 2 x rim joist at the floor level in the original drawing due to cross-grain bending.

| Structural deficiency | Affects <br> rating? | Structural deficiency | Affects <br> rating? |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Lateral system stress check (wall shear, column shear or <br> flexure, or brace axial as applicable) | Y | Openings at shear walls (concrete or masonry) | N |
| Load path | N | Liquefaction | N |
| Adjacent buildings | N | Slope failure | N |
| Weak story | N | Surface fault rupture | N |
| Soft story | N | Masonry or concrete wall anchorage at flexible <br> diaphragm | N |
| Geometry (vertical irregularities) | N | URM wall height-to-thickness ratio | N |
| Torsion | N | URM parapets or cornices | N |
| Mass - vertical irregularity | N | URM chimney | N |
| Cripple walls | N | Heavy partitions braced by ceilings | N |
| Wood sills (bolting) | N | Appendages | N |
| Diaphragm continuity | N |  |  |

## Summary of review of nonstructural life-safety concerns, including at exit routes. ${ }^{3}$

A dust collector was observed standing close to the east exterior wall of the building and is self-anchored into the concrete pad below; however, due to its weight and configuration, deflection of the unit under earthquake loading may need to be limited to avoid pounding damage to the exterior wall of Building C. Two basement rooms were not observed during the site visit and are therefore excluded from the scope of the nonstructural review.

[^1]| UCOP nonstructural checklist item | Life safety <br> hazard? | UCOP nonstructural checklist item | Life safety <br> hazard? |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Heavy ceilings, feature or ornamentation above large <br> lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies or other areas where <br> large numbers of people congregate | None <br> observed | Unrestrained hazardous materials storage | None <br> observed |
| Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways and <br> public access areas | None <br> observed | Masonry chimneys | None <br> observed |
| Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other <br> ornamentation above exit ways and public access areas | None <br> observed | Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such <br> as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, <br> etc. | None <br> observed |

## Basis of rating

A Seismic Performance Level rating of $V$ is assigned to Building $C$ based on the absence of an ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 quick check procedure for wood braced frame, the limited ductility in the braced frames, and the poor out-of-plane connection of the wood floor to concrete stem wall that relies on cross-grain bending.

## Recommendations for further evaluation or retrofit

We recommend that the campus perform a Tier 2 evaluation to review the lateral force-resisting capacity of the wood braced frame members, internal connections, and connections to the plywood shear wall. While ductility is low, it may be that there is sufficient capacity due to low demands. If the braced frames were found to be inadequate, connections could be strengthened or supplemental lateral resistance could be added, such steel moment frames to help continue to preserve the clerestory light. We assign the building to Priority Category B, as the retrofit of the building should be done when there are any plans for modifying or change of occupancy. Deflection of the duct collector under extreme seismic event should be limited to avoid pounding.

## Peer review of rating

This seismic evaluation was discussed in a peer review meeting on 28 May 2019. Reviewers present were Joe Maffei of Maffei Structural Engineering and Holly Razzano and Jay Yin of Degenkolb Engineers. Comments from the reviewers have been incorporated into this report. The reviewers agreed with the assigned rating.

| Additional building data | Entry | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latitude | 36.994750 |  |
| Longitude | -122.060600 |  |
| Are there other structures besides this one under the same CAAN\# | No |  |
| Number of stories above lowest perimeter grade | 1 | There is also a small partial daylight basement on the north side for a pair of small rooms. |
| Number of stories (basements) below lowest perimeter grade | 0 |  |
| Building occupiable area (OGSF) | 2,212 | From UCSC facilities database. |
| Risk Category per 2016 CBC Table 1604.5 | II |  |
| Building structural height, $h_{n}$ | 14 ft | Structural height defined per ASCE 7-16 Section 11.2 |
| Coefficient for period, $C_{t}$ | 0.020 | Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18 |
| Coefficient for period, $\beta$ | 0.75 | Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18 |
| Estimated fundamental period | 0.14 sec | Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18 |
| Site data |  |  |
| 975-year hazard parameters $S_{s}, S_{1}$ | 1.281, 0.485 | From SEAOC/OSHPD website |


| Site class | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site class basis | Geotech ${ }^{4}$ | See footnote below |
| Site parameters $F_{a}, F_{v}$ | 1.0, 1.815 | From SEAOC/OSHPD website |
| Ground motion parameters $S_{c s}, S_{c 1}$ | 1.281, 0.881 | From SEAOC/OSHPD website |
| $S_{a}$ at building period | 1.28 |  |
| Site $V_{\text {s30 }}$ | $900 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |
| $V_{530}$ basis | Estimated | Estimated based on site classification of D. |
| Liquefaction potential | Low |  |
| Liquefaction assessment basis | County map | See footnote below |
| Landslide potential | Low |  |
| Landslide assessment basis | County map | See footnote below |
| Active fault rupture identified at site | No |  |
| Fault rupture assessment basis | County map | See footnote below |
| Site-specific ground motion study? | No |  |
| Applicable code |  |  |
| Applicable code or approx. date of original construction | Original Built: 1984 (Estimated) Code: 1982 UBC Addition: 1996 Code: 1991 UBC |  |
| Applicable code for partial retrofit | None | No partial retrofit. |
| Applicable code for full retrofit | None | No full retrofit |
| FEMA P-154 data |  |  |
| Model building type North-South | W2 -Wood frame |  |
| Model building type East-West | Wood Braced <br> Frame/W2- <br> Wood frame | No checklist is available in ASCE 41-17. Even though the building is wood frame and designed to a code after the 1982 UBC, the building was not benchmarked since the braced frames are not consistent with the W2 definition. |
| FEMA P-154 score | N/A | Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. |

[^2]| Previous ratings |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Most recent rating | Not evaluated before. |  |
| Date of most recent rating | - |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ most recent rating | - |  |
| Date of $2^{\text {nd }}$ most recent rating | - |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ most recent rating | Refer to attached checklist file. |  |
| Date of $3^{\text {rd }}$ most recent rating |  |  |
| Appendices | Yes |  |
| ASCE 41 Tier 1 checklist included <br> here? |  |  |

## Color Coded Floor Plan



Building Elevations


Wood Brace Frame Elevation in the Existing Portion


## Addition Plans



Foundation Plan


Roof Plan

Connection Detail between Main Elements of the Wood Diagonal Braced Frames


Metal Deck to Perimeter Roof-to-Wall Tie in Addition
Detail 16/S303 is the Typical Condition; Detail 17/S303 is the Connection from the Addition (on the Right) to the Original Building (on the Left)


TYP. METAL


## APPENDIX A

## Additional Photos



Partial West Elevation (Looking Southeast)


The Dust Collector near the East Wall (Looking North)


Wood Braced Frame (Looking Northeast)


Glulam Beams in the 1996 Addition (Looking North)

## APPENDIX B

## ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Checklists (Structural)

| UC Campus: | Santa Cruz |  | Date: | 06/28/2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 7495 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Rutherford + Chekene |  |  |
| Building Name: | Elena Baskin Visual Arts Building C |  | Initials: | JY | Checked: | WAL/BL |
| Building Address: | 433 Baskin Arts Service Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 |  | Page: |  | of |  |
| ASCE 41-17 <br> Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LOW SEISMICITY

## BUILDING SYSTEMS - GENERAL

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | LOAD PATH: The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1) <br> Comments: Roof diaphragms deliver loads to wood shear walls over strip footings around the building perimeter and to wood diagonal braces and wood columns over spread footings. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & \square & D^{\prime} \\ \square \end{array}$ | ADJACENT BUILDINGS: The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than $0.25 \%$ of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, $0.5 \%$ in moderate seismicity, and $1.5 \%$ in high seismicity. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2) <br> Comments: There are no adjacent structures. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & U \\ \mathbf{D} & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | MEZZANINES: Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3) <br> Comments: There are no mezzanines. |

## BUILDING SYSTEMS - BUILDING CONFIGURATION

| C NC N/A U | DEAK STORY: The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| less than 80\% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Commentary: Sec. A2.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1) |  |

Note: $\mathbf{C}=$ Compliant $\mathbf{N C}=$ Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

| UC Campus: | Santa Cruz |  | Date: | 06/28/2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 7495 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Rutherford + Chekene |  |  |
| Building Name: | Elena Baskin Visual Arts Building C |  | Initials: | JY | Checked: | WAL/BL |
| Building Address: | 433 Baskin Arts Service Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 |  | Page: |  | of |  |
| ASCE 41-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ O & D & \square & \square \end{array}$ | VERTICAL IRREGULARITIES: All vertical elements in the seismic-force-resisting system are continuous to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.3) <br> Comments: All lateral force-resisting system elements are continuous to the foundation. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \mathrm{U} \\ \mathrm{O} & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | GEOMETRY: There are no changes in the net horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system of more than 30\% in a story relative to adjacent stories, excluding one-story penthouses and mezzanines. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.4) <br> Comments: Same wood shear wall at partial basement |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | MASS: There is no change in effective mass of more than $50 \%$ from one story to the next. Light roofs, penthouses, and mezzanines need not be considered. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.6. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.5) <br> Comments: Partial basement has a small footprint. |
|  | TORSION: The estimated distance between the story center of mass and the story center of rigidity is less than $20 \%$ of the building width in either plan dimension. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.2.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.6) <br> Comments: Flexible diaphragm |

## MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY)

## GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARD

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | LIQUEFACTION: Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft ( 15.2 m ) under the building. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1) <br> Comments: Per 2009 County map at https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency\%20Management/Hazard\%20Mitigation/LiquifactionMap2009.pdf |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ \square & \square & \square & 0 \end{array}$ | SLOPE FAILURE: The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Commentary: <br> Sec. A.6.1.2. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1) <br> Comments: Per 2009 County map at <br> https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency\%20Management/Hazard\%20Mitigation/LandslideMap2009.pdf |

Note: C=Compliant NC=Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

| uc Campus: | Santa cruz |  | Date: | ${ }^{662829019}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suiding CAAN: | 7495 | Auxiary | : | R | erford + Ch |  |
| Builing N | Eenen Baskitiv visal Atst Sululing $C$ |  | Initials: |  | coked: | Ler |
| Building Address: | knin Afs seon | Se, Sana crue | Page: |  | of |  |
| ASCE 41-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION

 TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY)
## GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARD

| C NC N/A U | SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1) |  |
| Comments: Per 2009 County map at |  |
| https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency\%20Management/Hazard\%20Mitigation/FaultZoneMap2009.pdf |  |


| HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR MODERATE SEISMICITY) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| FOUNDATION CONFIGURATION |  |
|  | Description |
| $C$ $N C$ N/A $U$ <br> $D$ $\square$ $D$ $\square$ | OVERTURNING: The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than $0.6 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{a}}$. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3) <br> Comments: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Building width } \mathrm{B}=40^{\prime} \text {, Building average height is } \mathrm{H}=14^{\prime}, \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{H}=2.86 \\ & \mathrm{Sa}=1.28 \mathrm{~g} \text { per ATC at } \mathrm{BSE}-2 \mathrm{E} \\ & 0.6 \times \mathrm{Sa}=0.77 \\ & \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{H}>0.6 \mathrm{Sa} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & \square & D & D \end{array}$ | TIES BETWEEN FOUNDATION ELEMENTS: The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4) <br> Comments: Site Class D assumed. The wood floor provides limited constraint to tie the perimeter concrete footings together from lateral spreading. As the site slopes to the northeast, the floor diaphragm is even further away from the foundation and will offer less restraint |

Note: C=Compliant NC=Noncompliant N/A = Not Applicable U = Unknown

| UC Campus: | Santa Cruz |  | Date: | 06/20/2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 7495 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Rutherford + Chekene |  |  |
| Building Name: | Elena Baskin Visual Arts Building C |  | Initials: | YJ | Checked: | WAL/BL |
| Building Address: | 433 Baskin Arts Service Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 |  | Page: |  | of |  |
| Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist For Building Type W2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LOW AND MODERATE SEISMICITY

## SEISMIC-FORCE-RESISTING SYSTEM

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | REDUNDANCY: The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1) <br> Comments: There are two lines of shear walls in each principal direction. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | SHEAR STRESS CHECK: The shear stress in the shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than the following values: (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1) <br> Comments: $5 / 8$-inch structural panel sheathing provided at all perimeter shear walls. The average shear stress in N -S direction is 277 plf at the main story and 355 plf at lower story. The average shear stress in E-W direction is 277 plf max at the main story and 394 plf at lower story. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ O & D & D & \square \end{array}$ | STUCCO (EXTERIOR PLASTER) SHEAR WALLS: Multi-story buildings do not rely on exterior stucco walls as the primary seismic-force-resisting system. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) <br> Comments: No stucco. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{NC} & \text { N/A } & \mathrm{U} \\ \mathbf{O} & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | GYPSUM WALLBOARD OR PLASTER SHEAR WALLS: Interior plaster or gypsum wallboard is not used for shear walls on buildings more than one story high with the exception of the uppermost level of a multi-story building. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) <br> Comments: Gypsum boards are not used as shear diaphragm. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & \square & D & \square \end{array}$ | NARROW WOOD SHEAR WALLS: Narrow wood shear walls with an aspect ratio greater than 2-to-1 are not used to resist seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) <br> Comments: All wall panel satisfy the ratio limit. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & \square & D & \square \end{array}$ | WALLS CONNECTED THROUGH FLOORS: Shear walls have an interconnection between stories to transfer overturning and shear forces through the floor. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.2) <br> Comments: In the original portion, plywood is discontinued at the floor level. Shear transfer is provided per Detail $21 / \mathrm{S}-7$. There is no positive holdown or strap for overturning, but the demands will be low. |

Note: $\mathbf{C}=$ Compliant $\mathbf{N C}=$ Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

| UC Campus: | Santa Cruz |  | Date: | 06/20/2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 7495 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Rutherford + Chekene |  |  |
| Building Name: | Elena Baskin Visual Arts Building C |  | Initials: | YJ | Checked: | WAL/BL |
| Building Address: | 433 Baskin Arts Service Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 |  | Page: |  | of |  |
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| C NC N/A U <br> © $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ | HILLSIDE SITE: For structures that are taller on at least one side by more than one-half story because of a sloping site, all shear walls on the downhill slope have an aspect ratio less than 1-to-1. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.6. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.3) <br> Comments: The site slopes by more than one-half story from main floor to the floor of the partial basement, but the piers on the downslope, north façade typically have wider aspect ratios that $1 \mathrm{~V}: 1 \mathrm{H}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & \square & D & \square \end{array}$ | CRIPPLE WALLS: Cripple walls below first-floor-level shear walls are braced to the foundation with wood structural panels. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.4) <br> Comments: Wall panels and anchored sills are shown in Detail 19 on Sheet S-7. |
| C NC N/A U <br> $\square \square \square \square$ | OPENINGS: Walls with openings greater than $80 \%$ of the length are braced with wood structural panel shear walls with aspect ratios of not more than $1.5-\mathrm{to}-1$ or are supported by adjacent construction through positive ties capable of transferring the seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.5) <br> Comments: No large openings observed in wood shear walls. |
| CONNECTIONS |  |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | WOOD POSTS: There is a positive connection of wood posts to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3) <br> Comments: <br> Simpson CB-68 or CB-88 are used for column base connection to concrete foundation per Detail 12 on Sheet S-7. |
|  | WOOD SILLS: All wood sills are bolted to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3) Comments: Wood sills are bolted $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ dia. anchor bolts on 4'-0" o.c. per Detail 16 on Sheet S-7. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | GIRDER/COLUMN CONNECTION: There is a positive connection using plates, connection hardware, or straps between the girder and the column support. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1) <br> Comments: <br> Structural steel angle $\mathrm{w} / 3 / 4$ " dia. through bolts are used to connect girder and column per Detail 2 and 7 on Sheet S-7 in the original. Steel bucket with two $3 / 4$ " diameter through bolts are used to connect the glulam beams in the addition to the steel posts below. |

Note: $\mathbf{C}=$ Compliant $\mathbf{N C}=$ Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

| UC Campus: | Santa Cruz |  | Date: | 06/20/2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW AND MODERATE SEISMICITY)

## CONNECTIONS

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | WOOD SILL BOLTS: Sill bolts are spaced at $6 \mathrm{ft}(1.8 \mathrm{~m})$ or less with acceptable edge and end distance provided for wood and concrete. (Commentary: A.5.3.7. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.3) <br> Comments: Wood sills are bolted $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ dia. anchor bolts on $4^{\prime}-0$ " o.c. per Detail 16 on Sheet S-7 |
| DIAPHRAGMS |  |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline \mathbf{C} & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \mathrm{U} \\ \mathrm{Q} & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | DIAPHRAGM CONTINUITY: The diaphragms are not composed of split-level floors and do not have expansion joints. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) <br> Comments: First floor is level, and there is no expansion in the floor and roof diaphragm. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & \square & \square & \square \end{array}$ | ROOF CHORD CONTINUITY: All chord elements are continuous, regardless of changes in roof elevation. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) <br> Comments: Chord discontinuity occur at roof offset at the original and addition intersection. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & D & D & \square \end{array}$ | DIAPHRAGM REINFORCEMENT AT OPENINGS: There is reinforcing around all diaphragm openings larger than $50 \%$ of the building width in either major plan dimension. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.5) <br> Comments: No large opening observed in the roof diaphragm. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & N C & \text { N/A } & U \\ D & D & \square & \square \end{array}$ | STRAIGHT SHEATHING: All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) <br> Comments: Roof diaphragm is plywood. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & \text { U } \\ D & D & D & \square \end{array}$ | SPANS: All wood diaphragms with spans greater than $24 \mathrm{ft}(7.3 \mathrm{~m})$ consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) <br> Comments: $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ plywood per Detail 12 on Sheet S-1 |

Note: $\mathbf{C}=$ Compliant $\mathbf{N C}=$ Noncompliant $N / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

| UC Campus: | Santa Cruz |  | Date: | 06/20/2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 7495 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Rutherford + Chekene |  |  |
| Building Name: | Elena Baskin Visual Arts Building C |  | Initials: | YJ | Checked: | WAL/BL |
| Building Address: | 433 Baskin Arts Service Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 |  | Page: |  | of |  |
| Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist For Building Type W2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{llll} C & N C & N / A & U \\ D & \square & O & \square \end{array}$ | DIAGONALLY SHEATHED AND UNBLOCKED DIAPHRAGMS: All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than $40 \mathrm{ft}(12.2 \mathrm{~m})$ and have aspect ratios less than or equal to 4 -to-1. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) <br> Comments: $5 / 8$ " blocked plywood in original portion. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & \text { NC } & \text { N/A } & U \\ \square & \square & O & \square \end{array}$ | OTHER DIAPHRAGMS: The diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5) <br> Comments: $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ plywood plywood in the original portion and metal deck in the addition. |

Note: $\mathbf{C}=$ Compliant $\mathbf{N C}=$ Noncompliant $\mathrm{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

## APPENDIX C

## UCOP Seismic Safety Policy Falling Hazards Assessment Summary
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|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \\ \square & \boxtimes \end{array}$ | Heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies, or other areas where large numbers of people congregate ( 50 ppl or more) <br> Comments: There are no heavy ceilings, features, or ornamentation in Building $\mathbf{C}$. |
|  | Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways or public access areas <br> Comments: There is no masonry or stone veneer. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{P} & \text { N/A } \\ \square & \boxtimes \end{array}$ | Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices, or other ornamentation above exit ways or public access areas Comments: There are no unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \\ \square & \boxtimes \end{array}$ | Unrestrained hazardous material storage <br> Comments: No hazardous material storage was observed. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \\ \square & \boxtimes \end{array}$ | Masonry chimneys <br> Comments: There are no masonry chimneys. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \\ \square & \boxtimes \end{array}$ | Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc. <br> Comments: No unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment was observed. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \\ \boxtimes & \square \end{array}$ | Other: <br> Comments: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} \\ \boxtimes & \square \end{array}$ | Other: Dust Collector <br> Comments: Weight and configuration of the dust collector make it possible that it can pound into the building wall. |

## Falling Hazards Risk: Low

Note: $\mathbf{P}=$ Present, $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable


## APPENDIX D

## Quick Check Calculations

## Unit Weights:

|  | Seismic Weight | Dead Load |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Portion Roof | psf |  | Remarks |
| roofing | 3 |  | Asphalt shingles |
| 5/8" plywood | 1.5 | 1.5 | at 36 pcf |
| rafter | 4 |  | $2 \times 10$ @ 16" o.c. |
| MEP | 3 | 6 |  |
| ceiling | 2 | 2 | typ. gypboard ceiling panels |
| misctlighting. | 5 | 5 |  |
| Total | 18 | 21 |  |


| Seismic Weight |  |  | Dead Load |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Addition Portion Roof | psf |  | Remarks |  |
| roofing | 3 | 3 | Asphalt shingles |  |
| $3^{\prime \prime}$ 20ga cellular metal roof decking | 4.1 | 4.1 | $3^{\prime \prime}$ cellular type ' $N$ ' per Verco manuf. Catelog |  |
| Glulam Beam | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| misc.tlighting | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Total | 12 | 10 |  |  |


|  | Seismic Weight | Dead Load |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor | psf |  | Remarks |
| Flooring | 3 | 3 | Exposed $11 / 8$-inch plywood |
| Floor joists | 4 |  | 2×10@16" o.c. |
| misc.tlighting | 2 | 2 |  |
| Woodwork equipment | 10 | 10 |  |
| Total | 19 | 19 |  |

## Story Weights

| Level | Area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) | Unit Weight (psf) | Seismic Weight (kips) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Original Roof | 1050 | 18 | 19 |
| Addition Roof | 1050 | 12 | 13 |
| Floor | 2010 | 19 | 38 |
|  |  |  | 70 |

Note:
1- Roof area in the original portion is caluclated accounting for the slope

## Period



## BSE-2E Response Spectrum



OSHPD

## 7495

Latitude, Longitude: 36.994750, -122.060600


| Type | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hazard Level | spectral response $(0.2 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | spectral response $(1.0 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | site-modified spectral response $(0.2 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{xs}}$ | site-modified spectral response $(1.0 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x} 1}$ | site amplification factor $(0.2 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | site amplification factor $(1.0 \mathrm{~s})$ |

## Story Shears


$\mathrm{k}=\quad 1.00 \quad$ Per ASCE 41-17 Section 4.4.2.2, $\mathrm{K}=1.0$ for periods less than 0.5 sec and $K=2.0$ for $T>2.5 \mathrm{sec}$. It varies linearly

| Floor Levels | Story Height | Total Height, H | Weight, W | $\mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{H}^{\text {k }}$ | coeff | Fx | Story Shear, V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (ft) | (ft) | (kips) |  |  | (kips) | (kips) |
| Roof | 14.00 | 18.3 | 32 | 577 | 0.78 | 91 | 91 |
| 1st Floor | 4.25 | 4.3 | 38 | 162 | 0.22 | 26 | 116 |
| Sunlight Basement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 739 | 1 | 116 |  |

## Average Stress:

| $\mathrm{Ms}=$ | 4.5 CP of wood shear wall |
| :--- | :--- |


| E-W direction (Longitudinal) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level | Force (kips) | Length of wall (ft) ${ }^{1}$ | Average shear stress (plf) |
| Roof-1st Floor (CASE 1) ${ }^{1}$ | 91 | 88.75 | 227 |
| Roof-1st Floor (CASE 1) $^{2}$ | 91 | 72.75 | 277 |
| 1st Floor-Sunlight Basement ${ }^{3}$ | 116 | 32.75 | 394 |


| N-S direction (Transverse) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level | Force (kips) | Length of wall (ft) | Average shear stress (plf) |
| Roof-1st Floor | 91 | 72.75 | 277 |
| 1st Floor-Sunlight Basement | 116 | 72.75 | 355 |

## Note:

1.In case 1 , the shear force is assumed to be taken by the north, south exterior walls and the remaining interior shear wall panels. 2 . In case 2 , the shear force is assumed to be taken by the exterior walls only, i.e. ignore the interior wall panels.
$3.1 / 2$ of the shear force in the lower story is taken by the north woos shear wall of the addition


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We translate this Tier 1 evaluation to a Seismic Performance Level rating using professional judgment. Non-compliant items in the Tier 1 evaluation do not automatically put a building into a particular rating category, but we evaluate such items along with the combination of building features and potential deficiencies, focused on the potential for collapse or serious damage to the gravity supporting structure that may threaten occupant safety. See Section III.B of the 19 May 2017 UC Seismic Safety Policy and Method B of Section 321 of the 2016 California Building Code.
    ${ }^{2}$ Per Section III.A.4.i of the 26 March 2019 UC Seismic Program Guidebook, Version 1.3, the cost includes all construction cost necessitated by the seismic retrofit, including restoration of finishes and any triggered work on utilities or accessibility. It does not include soft costs such as design fees or campus costs. The cost is in 2019 dollars.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ For these Tier 1 evaluations, we do not visit all spaces of the building; we rely on campus staff to report to us their understanding of if and where nonstructural hazards may occur.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Determination of site class and assessment of geotechnical hazards are based on correspondence with Pacific Crest Geotechnical Engineers and Nolan, Zinn, and Associates Geologists. [Revised Geology and Geologic Hazards, Santa Cruz Campus, University of California, Job \# 04003-SC 13 May 2005]. Site class is taken as D throughout the main campus of UC Santa Cruz. The following links provide hazard maps for liquefaction, landslide, and fault rupture: https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency\%20Management/Hazard\%20Mitigation/LiquifactionMap2009.pdf https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency\%20Management/Hazard\%20Mitigation/LandslideMap2009.pdf https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency\%20Management/Hazard\%20Mitigation/FaultZoneMap2009.pdf

