



Rating form completed by:

RUTHERFORD + CHEKENE

ruthchek.com Evaluator: MTN/EB/WAL/BL Date: 06/28/2019

Text in green is to be part of UC Santa Cruz building database and may be part of UCOP database

DATE: 2019-06-28

UC Santa Cruz building seismic ratings Visual Arts Facilities-Building I

CAAN #7815 Elena Baskin Visual Arts, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 UCSC Campus: Main Campus



Plan



Rating summary	Entry	Notes			
UC Seismic Performance Level (rating)	IV (Fair)				
Rating basis	Tier 1	ASCE 41-17 ¹			
Date of rating	2019				
Recommended UC Santa Cruz	None	Priority A=Retrofit ASAP			
priority category for retrofit		Priority B=Retrofit at next permit application			
Ballpark total construction cost to retrofit to IV rating ²	None	See recommendations on further evaluation and retrofit.			
Is 2018-2019 rating required by UCOP?	Yes	Building was not previously rated.			
Further evaluation recommended?	No				



¹ We translate this Tier 1 evaluation to a Seismic Performance Level rating using professional judgment. Non-compliant items in the Tier 1 evaluation do not automatically put a building into a particular rating category, but we evaluate such items along with the combination of building features and potential deficiencies, focused on the potential for collapse or serious damage to the gravity supporting structure that may threaten occupant safety. See Section III.B of the 19 May 2017 *UC Seismic Safety Policy* and Method B of Section 321 of the 2016 *California Building Code*.

² Per Section III.A.4.i of the 26 March 2019 *UC Seismic Program Guidebook, Version 1.3*, the cost includes all construction cost necessitated by the seismic retrofit, including restoration of finishes and any triggered work on utilities or accessibility. It does not include soft costs such as design fees or campus costs. The cost is in 2019 dollars.

Building information used in this evaluation

• Architectural and structural drawings by Herbert Kahn Architect, "Baskin Visual Arts Photo Studio, University of California, Santa Cruz," dated 22 July 1991.

Additional building information known to exist

None

Scope for completing this form

Reviewed structural drawings for original construction, made brief site visit on 23May 23 2019, and carried out ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 evaluation.

Brief description of structure

Baskin Building I (photo studio) was added to the visual art studio complex in 1992. The building was designed by Herbert Kahn Architect.

The building is a two-story rectangular wood-framed structure that contains approximately 2,260 square feet. The site slopes down to the northeast, and the rectangle is oriented nominally with the fall line of the slope. Reference plan orientation is used such that the reference north is towards the compass northeast. In the reference east-west direction, the building out-to-out dimension is 34'6"; in the reference north-south direction, the out-to-out dimension is 32'2-3/4". The upper floor aligns with grade on the reference south face; the lower floor aligns with grade on the reference north face. On the south, east, and west sides of the building, the soil is retained by an 8" reinforced concrete retaining wall. On the south side, the retaining wall extends from the foundation to underside of the upper floor. On the east and west sides, the retaining wall slopes down from south to north and does not extend to the underside of the upper floor. A wood bearing wall connects the footing to the upper floor level.

<u>Identification of levels</u>: The building has two stories: lower floor and upper floor. The lower floor aligns with grade on the reference north side; the upper floor aligns with grade on the reference south side. The height from the top of slab-on-grade at the lower floor to top of the upper floor is 10'0". The roof over the upper story slopes up to the north. On the reference south side, it is approximately 7'6" from the top of the main floor to the underside of the ceiling; on the reference north side, it is approximately 24'9".

Foundation system: The perimeter and interior walls are supported on strip footings.

<u>Structural system for vertical (gravity) load:</u> At the roof, sloped 12" TJI 35s support plywood diaphragm and a metal roof, and the TJIs span between the reference north and south walls. The tall reference north wall has a central clerestory window. The top of the wall is supported by 4x8 corner posts and 4 interior TS8x4x5/16 steel tubes. At the upper floor level, 14" TJI 35s span reference east-west between the exterior walls and an interior bearing wall. Walls are platform framed with 2x8 studs at 16" o.c. at the upper story and 2x6 studs at 16" at the lower story. The ground floor is a 4" concrete slab.

Structural system for lateral forces: At the upper story, the wall studs (and steel tubes) span out-of-plane between the upper floor and roof, the roof plywood diaphragm spans to the side walls and the plywood side walls carry loads down to the foundation. A similar approach is used for the lower story and the upper floor plywood diaphragm. The roof is a blocked 1/2" plywood diaphragm with 10d at 6" o.c. nailing. The upper floor is a ¾" plywood diaphragm with 10d at 6" o.c. nailing. The upper floor is a ¾" plywood diaphragm with 10d at 6" o.c. nailing. They are connected with 5/8" diameter anchor bolts at 32" o.c. to the top of the concrete retaining walls and footings.

<u>Building code</u>: The building code used for design is not listed on the architectural or structural drawings. The only date on the drawings is 22 July 1991. A history of building codes in California is provided in "Abridged History of San Francisco's Bureau of Building Inspection: 1944 to 1992," a 2016 document Lonnie Haughton of Richard Avelar & Associates and a similar "Abridged History of the Statewide 'California Building Code'," a 2018 document also by Haughton. They inform the following. In 1978, the State Building Standards Commission was given responsibility for state building codes. The 1985 State Building Code adopted the 1982 Uniform Building Code (UBC), with an effective date of 1 October 1985. In 1989, the first California Building Code (CBC) was developed; it adopted the 1988 UBC, with an effective date of 1 July 1989 for State projects. The 1991 CBC adopted the 1991 UBC, with an

effective date of 14 August 1992. Building I was permitted under the University of California, Santa Cruz jurisdiction, and it is assumed that the State Building Code/California Building Codes were used. It thus appears likely that the 1988 UBC was the building code used for Building I.

<u>Benchmark note</u>: Per Table 1 of the 26 March 2019 *UC Seismic Program Guidebook, Version 1.3,* W2 buildings built to a code later than 1976 UBC and that are not on hillside sites can be benchmarked. The definition of the hillside site is not clearly provided either in the Guidebook or in ASCE 41-17. One interpretation is that the definition is in the W2 Tier 1 checklist which requires both a grade change of over half a story and shear walls on the downslope with aspect ratios of higher than 1V:1H. Building I has a full story grade change, but the north wall is solid has an aspect ratio of 0.27V:1H. There are, however, narrow pier on both the west and east sides at the downslope end. This report was prepared before the hillside site issue was clarified.

Brief description of seismic deficiencies and expected seismic performance including mechanism of nonlinear response and structural behavior modes

There are no major deficiencies. Average loads per lineal foot in the north and south plywood shear walls from eastwest loading are below the Quick Check threshold. If a tributary area approach is used, the loads at the north wall with its large clerestory window are relatively high, still below the Quick Check threshold with a D/C ratio of 0.92.

The flexible wood-framed diaphragms, comprised of truss joists with plywood sheathing, are properly anchored to the perimeter wood-framed walls and reinforced concrete walls on the perimeter of south, east, and west sides. This allows a safe load transfer over the height of the building to the foundation. The nonlinear behavior of the structure is expected to be limited to inelastic response of wood-framed walls in the perimeter of the structure. The calculated average shear stress in the walls is well below the ASCE41-17 limit, since the building has enough number of walls in both directions to withstand the seismic load. The weakest links are likely to be the piers adjacent to the clerestory window on the reference north elevation which are highly stressed from a tributary area analysis view. Loads will likely redistribute through the roof diaphragm to the strong south wall line.

Structural deficiency	Affects rating?	Structural deficiency	Affects rating?
Lateral system stress check (wall shear, column shear or flexure, or brace axial as applicable)	N	Openings at shear walls (concrete or masonry)	N
Load path	Ν	Liquefaction	Ν
Adjacent buildings	Ν	Slope failure	Ν
Weak story	Ν	Surface fault rupture	Ν
Soft story	N	Masonry or concrete wall anchorage at flexible diaphragm	N
Geometry (vertical irregularities)	N	URM wall height-to-thickness ratio	N
Torsion	N	URM parapets or cornices	N
Mass – vertical irregularity	N	URM chimney	N
Cripple walls	Ν	Heavy partitions braced by ceilings	Ν
Wood sills (bolting)	N	Appendages	N
Diaphragm continuity	N		

Summary of review of nonstructural life-safety concerns, including at exit routes.³

Tanks in the dark room are not properly anchored.

³ For these Tier 1 evaluations, we do not visit all spaces of the building; we rely on campus staff to report to us their understanding of if and where nonstructural hazards may occur.

UCOP nonstructural checklist item	Life safety hazard?	UCOP nonstructural checklist item	Life safety hazard?
Heavy ceilings, feature or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies or other areas where large numbers of people congregate	None observed	Unrestrained hazardous materials storage	Potential
Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways and public access areas	None observed	Masonry chimneys	None observed
Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or other ornamentation above exit ways and public access areas	None observed	Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc.	None observed

Basis of rating

A Seismic Performance Level Rating of Level IV is assigned to this building. It is well tied together; loads in the diaphragms and shear walls are relatively low; and there are no major deficiencies.

Recommendations for further evaluation or retrofit

None.

Peer review of rating

This seismic evaluation was discussed in a peer review meeting on 24 June 2019. Reviewers present were Joe Maffei of Maffei Structural Engineering and Jay Yin of Degenkolb Engineers. Comments from the reviewers have been incorporated into this report. The reviewers agreed with the assigned rating.

Additional building data	Entry	Notes
Latitude	36.994580	
Longitude	-122.060100	
Are there other structures besides this one under the same CAAN#	No	
Number of stories above lowest perimeter grade	2	
Number of stories (basements) below lowest perimeter grade	0	
Building occupiable area (OGSF)	2,261	From UCSC facilities database.
Risk Category per 2016 CBC Table 1604.5	Ш	
Building structural height, h _n	27 ft	Structural height defined per ASCE 7-16 Section 11.2
Coefficient for period, <i>C</i> _t	0.020	Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18
Coefficient for period, eta	0.75	Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18
Estimated fundamental period	0.24 sec	Estimated using ASCE 41-17 equation 4-4 and 7-18
Site data		
975-year hazard parameters S_s , S_1	1.281, 0.485	From SEAOC/OSHPD website
Site class	D	
Site class basis	Geotech ^₄	See footnote below

⁴ Determination of site class and assessment of geotechnical hazards are based on correspondence with Pacific Crest Geotechnical Engineers and Nolan, Zinn, and Associates Geologists. [*Revised Geology and Geologic Hazards, Santa Cruz Campus, University of California*, Job # 04003-SC 13 May 2005]. Site class is taken as D throughout the main campus of UC Santa Cruz. The following links provide hazard maps for liquefaction, landslide, and fault rupture:

	1.0.1.015	
Site parameters F_a , F_v	1.0, 1.815	From SEAOC/OSHPD website
Ground motion parameters S_{cs} , S_{c1}	1.281, 0.880	From SEAOC/OSHPD website
S _a at building period	1.28	
Site V _{s30}	900 ft/s	
V _{s30} basis	Estimated	Estimated based on site classification of D.
Liquefaction potential	Low	
Liquefaction assessment basis	County map	See footnote below
Landslide potential	Low	
Landslide assessment basis	County map	See footnote below
Applicable code		
Applicable code or approx. date of original construction	Built: 1992 Code: 1988 UBC	
Applicable code for partial retrofit	None	No partial retrofit.
Applicable code for full retrofit	None	No full retrofit
FEMA P-154 data		
FEMA P-154 data Model building type North-South	W2-Wood Frames	
FEMA P-154 data Model building type North-South Model building type East-West	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames	
FEMA P-154 dataModel building type North-SouthModel building type East-WestFEMA P-154 score	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation.
FEMA P-154 data Model building type North-South Model building type East-West FEMA P-154 score Previous ratings	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation.
FEMA P-154 dataModel building type North-SouthModel building type East-WestFEMA P-154 scorePrevious ratingsMost recent rating	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.
FEMA P-154 data Model building type North-South Model building type East-West FEMA P-154 score Previous ratings Most recent rating Date of most recent rating	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.
FEMA P-154 data Model building type North-South Model building type East-West FEMA P-154 score Previous ratings Most recent rating Date of most recent rating 2 nd most recent rating	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A - - -	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.
FEMA P-154 dataModel building type North-SouthModel building type East-WestFEMA P-154 scorePrevious ratingsMost recent ratingDate of most recent rating2nd most recent ratingDate of 2nd most recent rating	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A - - - -	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.
FEMA P-154 data Model building type North-South Model building type East-West FEMA P-154 score Previous ratings Most recent rating Date of most recent rating Date of 2 nd most recent rating 3 rd most recent rating	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A - - - - - -	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.
FEMA P-154 dataModel building type North-SouthModel building type East-WestFEMA P-154 scorePrevious ratingsMost recent ratingDate of most recent rating2nd most recent ratingDate of 2nd most recent ratingDate of 3rd most recent ratingDate of 3rd most recent rating	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A - - - - - - - - -	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.
FEMA P-154 dataModel building type North-SouthModel building type East-WestFEMA P-154 scorePrevious ratingsMost recent ratingDate of most recent rating2nd most recent ratingDate of 2nd most recent ratingDate of 3rd most recent ratingDate of 3rd most recent ratingAppendices	W2-Wood Frames W2-Wood Frames N/A - - - - - - - -	Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. Not evaluated before.

https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/LiquifactionMap2009.pdf https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/LandslideMap2009.pdf https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/FaultZoneMap2009.pdf

RUTHERFORD + CHEKENE ruthchek.com

Color Coded Floor Plan

Upper Floor



Location of plywood shear walls





APPENDIX A

Additional Photos



Reference southeast corner (looking northwest)



Reference east elevation



Reference north wall with large window



Shelves and cabinets in the office



Unbraced chemical tanks in dark room





APPENDIX B

ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 Checklists (Structural)

	ι	JC Ca	ampu	S: Santa Ci	ruz		Date:	06/28/2019			
	Buil	ding	CAA	N: 7815	Auxiliary		By Firm:	Rutherford + Chekene			
	Bui	lding	Nam	e: Elena Baskin Visual	Arts Building	1	Initials:	EB/MTN Checked: WAL/B			
E	Buildi	ng Ac	ldres	ss: Santa Cruz, CA 95064 Page: 1 of 3						3	
	ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist										
LC	LOW SEISMICITY										
BU	ILDI	NG	SYS	STEMS - GENERAL							
						Descriptio	'n				
C ()	NC O	N/A O	U O	LOAD PATH: The structure contains a serves to transfer the inertial forces as: Sec. A.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1)	complete, wel sociated with the	I-defined load ne mass of all e	path, including elements of the	structural ele building to the	ements and conn ne foundation. (C	ections, that commentary:	
				Comments: In the E-W direction (transverse), a metal roof on 1/2" plywood sheathing deck delivers the lateral loads to the wood shear walls (Details 1/3 and 4/3 on Sheet 3/12 and Details 5/3 on Sheet 3/12 and Details8/5, 10/5, and 15/5 on Sheet 5/12) and from them to the soil through a reinforced concrete strip foundation. A reinforced concrete retaining wall received the loads in the south wall of the building and delivered it to the foundation. In the N-S direction (longitudinal), the 3/4" plywood roof and floor diaphragms transfers the load to wood shear walls which are built atop reinforced concrete footings. Well detailed connections are identified in both directions.					eral loads to and 15/5 on etaining wall itudinal), the ced concrete		
C ()	NC O	N/A	U O [ADJACENT BUILDINGS: The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than 0.25% of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, 0.5% in moderate seismicity, and 1.5% in high seismicity. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2) Comments: There is more than 1.5% of the height of the building to the closest structure.							
с ©	NC O	N/A O	0	MEZZANINES: Interior mezzanine lev force-resisting elements of the main st Comments: There are no mezzanin	els are braced tructure. (Com nes.	independently mentary: Sec.	r from the mair A.2.1.3. Tier 2	structure or Sec. 5.4.1.3	are anchored to 3)	the seismic-	
вU	ILDI	NG	SYS	TEMS - BUILDING CON	FIGURAT	ION					
						Descriptio	n				
C ()	NC O	N/A O	U	WEAK STORY: The sum of the shear less than 80% of the strength in the ac	r strengths of t djacent story a	he seismic-for bove. (Comme	ce-resisting sy entary: Sec. A2	stem in any 2.2.2. Tier 2: 3	story in each dir Sec. 5.4.2.1)	ection is not	
				Comments: The length of plywood walls at the upper story.	and concrete r	etaining walls	at the lower st	ory equals or	exceeds that of	the plywood	
C ()	NC O	N/A O	U O	SOFT STORY: The stiffness of the se resisting system stiffness in an adjacer of the three stories above. (Commenta	eismic-force-re nt story above o ary: Sec. A.2.2	sisting system or less than 80 ^o .3. Tier 2: Sec.	in any story i % of the averag . 5.4.2.2)	s not less tha ge seismic-fo	an 70% of the se rce-resisting sys	eismic-force- tem stiffness	
				Comments: The length of plywood walls at the upper story and the lower	and concrete r story is typical	etaining walls ly shorter than	at the lower st the upper sto	ory equals or ^r y.	exceeds that of	the plywood	

UC Cam	ipus:	Santa Cru	IZ		Date:	06/28/2019			
Building CA	AAN:	7815	Auxiliary CAAN:		By Firm:	Rutherford + Chekene			
Building Na	ame:	Elena Baskin Visual A	Arts Building I		Initials:	EB/MTN	EB/MTN Checked: WAL/E		
Building Add	ress:	Santa Cruz, CA	95064		Page:	2 of 3			
ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist									
CNCN/AU	J VE (Co Co cor	RTICAL IRREGULARITIES: All verti ommentary: Sec. A.2.2.4. Tier 2: Sec omments: All lateral force-resisti nections.	cal elements in th c. 5.4.2.3) ng system elen	he seismic-	force-resisting	system are	continuous to the ation with prope	e foundation. erly detailed	
CNCN/AU OCC	J GE in a Sei	OMETRY: There are no changes in a story relative to adjacent stories, ex c. 5.4.2.4)	the net horizonta coluding one-stor ame horizontal di	l dimension y penthouse mension.	of the seismic es and mezza	c-force-resisti nines. (Comr	ing system of mo nentary: Sec. A.2	re than 30% 2.2.5. Tier 2:	
CNCN/AU OCC	J MA me Co	ASS: There is no change in effective ezzanines need not be considered. (C comments: There is no significant c	mass of more the commentary: See hange in the effe	han 50% fro c. A.2.2.6. T ective mass	om one story Tier 2: Sec. 5.4 over the heigh	to the next. I 1.2.5) nt of the build	Light roofs, pentl ling.	houses, and	
C NC N/A L	J TO the Cc frai	RSION: The estimated distance bet building width in either plan dimens comments: The center of rigidity mi me diaphragms can be considered fl	ween the story c ion. (Commentar ight shift toward exible.	enter of ma y: Sec. A.2. the south d	ss and the sto 2.7. Tier 2: Se ue to the pres	bry center of ec. 5.4.2.6) dence of the o	rigidity is less the	an 20% of out the wood	

MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY)

GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARD

				Description
С	NC	N/A	U	LIQUEFACTION: Liquefaction-susceptible, saturated, loose granular soils that could jeopardize the building's seismic
۲	0	0	0	performance do not exist in the foundation soils at depths within 50 ft (15.2m) under the building. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.1. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1)
				Comments: There is no mapped liquefaction on
				https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/LiquifactionMap2009.pdf.
С	NC	N/A	U	SLOPE FAILURE: The building site is located away from potential earthquake-induced slope failures or rockfalls so that it
۲	0	0	0	Is unaffected by such failures or is capable of accommodating any predicted movements without failure. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.2. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1)
				Comments: There are no mapped landslides on
				https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapgallery/Emergency%20Management/Hazard%20Mitigation/LandslideMap2009.pdf.

UC Campus: Santa Cruz				06/28/2019											
Building CAAN	J: 7815	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Rutherford + Chekene		kene									
Building Name	e: Elena Baskin Visua	Elena Baskin Visual Arts Building I			Checked:	WAL/BL									
Building Address	S: Santa Cruz, G	CA 95064	Page:	3	of	3									
ASCE 41-17															
	onapse Prevention	I Basic Com	iguration	Check	ทรเ										
MODERATE	MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION														
TO THE ITEM	IS FOR LOW SEISM	ICITY)				TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY)									
GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARD															
GEOLOGIC SIT	E HAZARD														
GEOLOGIC SIT	SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surf	ace fault rupture and su	Irface displacement	at the build	ling site are not	anticipated.									
GEOLOGIC SIT C NC N/A U	E HAZARD SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surf. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: 5	ace fault rupture and su .4.3.1)	Irface displacement	at the build	ling site are not	anticipated.									
GEOLOGIC SIT	E HAZARD SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surf. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: 5 Comments: There are no faults at	ace fault rupture and su .4.3.1) the project site per	Irface displacement	at the build	ling site are not	anticipated.									
GEOLOGIC SIT	E HAZARD SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surf. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: 5 Comments: There are no faults at https://gis.santacruzcounty.us/mapga	ace fault rupture and su .4.3.1) the project site per allery/Emergency%20Ma	Irface displacement	at the build	ling site are not /FaultZoneMap2/	anticipated. 009.pdf.									

HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR MODERATE SEISMICITY)

FOUNDATION CONFIGURATION

				Description
C ©	NC O	N/A O	U O	OVERTURNING: The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than $0.6S_a$. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3) Comments: Building width B = 32'-5", Building Height is H = 26', B/H = 1.25 Sa = 1.281g per ATC at BSE-2E $0.6 \times Sa = 0.77$ B/H > 0.8 Sa
C		N/A 0	0	TIES BETWEEN FOUNDATION ELEMENTS: The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4) Comments: Site Class D assumed. Reinforced slab-on-grade ties the footings together per Details 11/5, 14/5, and 15/ 5 on Sheet 5.

UC Campu	S: Santa	Cruz	Date:	06/28/2019				
Building CAA	N: 7815	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Rutherford + Chekene		kene		
Building Nam	e: EBASK	BLDG L	Initials:	EB/MN Checked: WAL/BI				
Building Addres	S: Santa Cruz	, CA 95064	Page:	1	1 of 4			
ASCE 41-17 Collapse Prevention Structural Checklist For Building Type W2 LOW AND MODERATE SEISMICITY								
SEISMIC-FORC	E-RESISTING SYSTE	vi						
		Descriptio	n					
C NC N/A U	REDUNDANCY: The number of line Sec. A.3.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1) Comments: Two lines of shear	REDUNDANCY: The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1) Comments: Two lines of shear walls are used in each direction.						
C NC N/A U	Comments: Two lines of shear walls are used in each direction. SHEAR STRESS CHECK: The shear stress in the shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than the following values: (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1)							
CNCN/AU ●CCC	STUCCO (EXTERIOR PLASTER) SHEAR WALLS: Multi-story buildings do not rely on exterior stucco walls as the primary seismic-force-resisting system. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) Comments: No exterior stucco walls are used.							
C NC N/A U ● C C C	GYPSUM WALLBOARD OR PLAS on buildings more than one story hig A.3.2.7.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.6.1) Comments: External 1/2" plywo	TER SHEAR WALLS: Interior p gh with the exception of the uppe bood and internal 5/8" gypsum	blaster or gyps ermost level of board sheath	sum wallboar a multi-story ing are used	d is not used for building. (Comm d per details on	shear walls entary: Sec. Sheet 5/12.		

UC Campu	IS: Santa Ci	ruz	Date:		06/28/2019	
Building CAA	N: 7815	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Ruth	erford + Che	kene
Building Nam	EBASK BL	DG L	Initials:	EB/MN	Checked:	WAL/BL
Building Addres	SS: Santa Cruz, C	A 95064	Page:	2	of	4
Collaps	se Prevention Struc	ASCE 41-17 tural Checkli	st For Bu	uilding	Type W	2
	NARROW WOOD SHEAR WALLS: N seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec. A. Comments: The north wall on the (Detail 16/5 on Sheet 5/12). However more shear capacity to the piers.	arrow wood shear walls w 3.2.7.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3 second story has a pier r, the member next to th	ith an aspect ration. 6.1) with an aspect r e window is a 43	atio of 2.35 ((8x5/16 strue	n 2-to-1 are not u on each side of t ctural tube whic	sed to resist he window h provides
C NC N/A U ⊙ O O O	WALLS CONNECTED THROUGH FL and shear forces through the floor. (Co Comments: Upper story walls are 5/3 on Sheet 3/12 and Details 4/5, 7/	OORS: Shear walls have ommentary: Sec. A.3.2.7. a connected to the diapl /5, 8/5, 10/5, and 17/5 on	an interconnecti 5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5 nragm to transfe Sheet 5/12)	on between s 5.3.6.2) r the loads t	stories to transfer	overturning
C NC N/A U ⊙ C C C	HILLSIDE SITE: For structures that ar shear walls on the downhill slope have Comments: The wall on the down 0.27, between the lower and upper f	re taller on at least one side an aspect ratio less than nhill slope at the north s floor.	le by more than c 1-to-1. (Commen side of the buildi	ne-half story tary: Sec. A.3 ing is solid	because of a slo 3.2.7.6. Tier 2: Se and has an asp	pping site, all ac. 5.5.3.6.3) ect ratio of
C NC N/A U ⊙ C C C	CRIPPLE WALLS: Cripple walls below (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.7.7. Tier 2: S Comments: Plywood sheathing co	v first-floor-level shear wa Sec. 5.5.3.6.4) ontinues down the wood	lls are braced to the design of the design o	he foundatior upper floor	n with wood struc	tural panels. or.
C NC N/A U ⊙ C C C	OPENINGS: Walls with openings great aspect ratios of not more than 1.5-to-1 the seismic forces. (Commentary: Sec Comments: The north wall on the window, which is approximately eq	ater than 80% of the leng or are supported by adjac c. A.3.2.7.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5 the second floor (34'-6" i ual to the 72% of the wa	oth are braced wi ent construction t .5.3.6.5) In length by 24'- Il length.	th wood stru hrough positi 9" in height	ctural panel shea ve ties capable o t) has a 24'-8" t	ar walls with i transferring by 9'-9 1/2"
CONNECTION	S					
		Descrip	tion			
C NC N/A U ⊙ C C C	WOOD POSTS: There is a positive of 5.7.3.3) Comments: The posts are connected	onnection of wood posts	to the foundation. npson L50 angle	. (Commenta	ry: Sec. A.5.3.3. 2/5 on Sheet 5/ ²	Tier 2: Sec.
C NC N/A U ⊙ C C C	WOOD SILLS: All wood sills are bolter Comments: Wood sills are conne 8/5, 10/5, and 15/5 on Sheet 5/12.	d to the foundation. (Com	mentary: Sec. A. ! using one 5/8"∳	5.3.4. Tier 2: x10" bolt sp	Sec. 5.7.3.3) baced 2'-8" o.c.	per Details

UC Campus:	Santa Cr	uz	Date:		06/28/2019	
Building CAAN:	7815	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Ruth	erford + Che	kene
Building Name:	EBASK BL	DG L	Initials:	EB/MN	Checked:	WAL/BL
Building Address:	Santa Cruz, C	A 95064	Page:	3	of	4
Collapse	A Prevention Struct	SCE 41-17 tural Checklis	st For Bu	uilding	Type W	2
C NC N/A U GI	RDER/COLUMN CONNECTION: The girder and the column support. (Co	here is a positive connect	ion using plates,	connection	hardware, or stra	aps between

0	N/A	Ö	the girder and the column support. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.4.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.4.1)
			Comments: No girder-column connections are used.

HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW AND MODERATE SEISMICITY)

CONNECTIONS Description C N/A U Image: C C C </tr

	\ 	NAU		
				Description
с ©	NC O	N/A C	U O	DIAPHRAGM CONTINUITY: The diaphragms are not composed of split-level floors and do not have expansion joints. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) Comments: Continuous wood diaphragms are used.
C ©	NC O	N/A O	UC	ROOF CHORD CONTINUITY: All chord elements are continuous, regardless of changes in roof elevation. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) Comments: At the roof, the plywood diaphragm is connected through nailed blocking to a continuous double top plate which serves as the diaphragm chord. At the upper floor, similarly, the plywood diaphragm is connected through blocking to the double top plate of the wood walls of the lower story which serves as the diaphragm chord.
c O	NC O	N/A ©	U O	DIAPHRAGM REINFORCEMENT AT OPENINGS: There is reinforcing around all diaphragm openings larger than 50% of the building width in either major plan dimension. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.5) Comments: There are no large diaphragm openings.

UC Campus:	Santa Cr	uz		Date:		06/28/2019	
Building CAAN:	7815	Auxiliary CAAN:		By Firm:	Ruth	erford + Che	kene
Building Name:	EBASK BLI	DG L		Initials:	EB/MN	Checked:	WAL/BL
Building Address:	Santa Cruz, C/	A 95064		Page:	4	of	4
Collapse	A Prevention Struct	SCE 41 tural Ch	I-17 Iecklist	For Bu	ilding	Type W	2
C NC N/A U S C C C C C C ar	TRAIGHT SHEATHING: All straight- onsidered. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.) comments: There are no straight- re used per Sheet 5/12.	-sheathed diap 1. Tier 2: Sec. sheathed diap	ohragms have 5.6.2) hragms; 1/2"	aspect ratios	s less than 2 vood per flo	2-to-1 in the dir	ection being hing details
C NC N/A U SI ⊙ C C C C C C C	PANS: All wood diaphragms with spa Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2. Tier 2: Sec Comments: Diaphragm spans sma	ns greater thar c. 5.6.2) aller than 24 f	n 24 ft (7.3 m) t .	consist of woo	d structural p	panels or diagona	al sheathing.
C NC N/A U Di C C O C S C	IAGONALLY SHEATHED AND UNBL aphragms have horizontal spans less ec. A.4.2.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) comments: No diagonally sheathe	OCKED DIAP than 40 ft (12.2 ed or unblock	HRAGMS: All 2 m) and have ed structural	diagonally she aspect ratios I panels are us	athed or unb ess than or e sed.	olocked wood stru qual to 4-to-1. (C	uctural panel commentary:
C NC N/A U O C C C C C b ^r C	THER DIAPHRAGMS: The diaphrag racing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1. T Comments: The roof and floor dia	ms do not con ïer 2: Sec. 5.6. phragms have	sist of a syste 5) e plywood.	m other than v	wood, metal	deck, concrete, d	or horizontal





APPENDIX C

UCOP Seismic Safety Policy Falling Hazards Assessment Summary

UC Campus:	Santa	Cruz	Date:		06/28/2019	
Building CAAN:	7815	Auxiliary CAAN:	By Firm:	Ruth	erford + Che	kene
Building Name:	EBASK	BLDG I	Initials:	EB	Checked:	WAL/BL
Building Address:	Santa Cruz	, CA 95064	Page:	1	of	1
	UCOP SEI Falling Haza	ISMIC SAFET rd Assessme	Y POLICY ent Summa	ary		

	Description
P N/A □ ⊠	Heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies, or other areas where large numbers of people congregate (50 ppl or more) Comments: There are no heavy ceilings, features or ornamentation in the studio space.
P N/A □ ⊠	Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit ways or public access areas Comments: There is no masonry or stone veneer.
P N/A	Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices, or other ornamentation above exit ways or public access areas
□ ⊠	Comments: There are no masonry parapets or other ornamentation.
P N/A	Unrestrained hazardous material storage
⊠ □	Comments: Tanks in the dark room are not anchored.
P N/A	Masonry chimneys
□ ⊠	Comments:
P N/A	Unrestrained natural gas-fueled equipment such as water heaters, boilers, emergency generators, etc.
□ ⊠	Comments: No natural gas-fueled equipment was observed.
P N/A	Other:
□ ⊠	Comments:
P N/A	Other:
□ ⊠	Comments:
P N/A	Other:
□ ⊠	Comments:

Falling Hazards Risk: Low





APPENDIX D

Quick Check Calculations



Unit Weights:

	Seismic Weight	Dead Load	
Roof	psf	psf	Remarks
Roofing	3	3	Metal roof per arch. Dwg.; Product specification not available
Sheathing Board	1.4	1.4	1/2" plywood
Joists	2.5	2.5	14" TJI 35@16"
Ceiling	2	2	typ. gypboard ceiling panels
Lighting and misc.	5	5	
MEP	3	3	
Columns	0.163	0.163	
Partition+Plywood shear walls	7.5	7.5	Half of 15 psf
Total	25	25	

	Seismic Weight	Dead Load	
Upper Floor	psf	psf	Remarks
Finishing	1.4	1.4	Vinyl Composite Tile
Sheathing Board	2.1	2.1	3/4" plywood
Joists	2.3	2.3	12" TJI 35@16"
Ceiling	2	2	typ. gypboard ceiling panels
Columns	0.301	0.301	
Lighting and misc.	5	5	
MEP	3	3	
Partition+Plywood shear walls	15	15.0	
Total	31	31	



Story Weights

Floor Levels	Floor Area (ft2)	Floor Weight (psf)	Additional Weight (kips)	Total Seismic Weight (kips)
Roof	1,403	25	0	34
Upper floor	1,072	31	0	33
		То	tal Weight (kips) =	68

Total Weight (kips) =

Period

C _t =	0.02
h _n (ft) =	27.00
B=	0.75

1= 0.24 se	= 0.24 se
------------	-------------

Notes:

1- The period calculated per ASCE 41-17 Equation 4-4.

$$T = C_t \cdot h_n^B$$

2- Ct and B are for "all other framing system" per ASCE 41-17 Section 4.4.2.4.

3- The building height is taken from the base to the average height of the roof.

Rating form completed by:



BSE-2E Response Spectrum



OSHPD

7815

Latitude, Longitude: 36.994580, -122.060100



Туре	Description	Value
Hazard Level		BSE-2E
Ss	spectral response (0.2 s)	1.281
S ₁	spectral response (1.0 s)	0.485
S _{XS}	site-modified spectral response (0.2 s)	1.281
S _{X1}	site-modified spectral response (1.0 s)	0.881
fa	site amplification factor (0.2 s)	1
fv	site amplification factor (1.0 s)	1.815





Story Shears

Sa=	1.281	
W=	68	kips
C=	1.1	ASCE 41-17 Table 4-7

1 - Modification Factor, C, per ASCE 41-17, Table 4-7 for two story W2 shear wall building type is used.

V=	95 kips
k=	1.00

Floor Levels	Story Height	Total Height, H	Weight, W	W x H ^k	coeff	Fx	Story Shear, V
	(ft)	(ft)	(kips)			(kips)	(kips)
Roof	17.00	27.00	34	930	0.74	70	70
Upper floor	10.00	10.00	33	333	0.26	25	95

Notes:

1- The base of building is assumed to be at top of the slab-on-grade.



Average Stress in Wood-framed Wall

Average Stresses

Ms = <mark>4.5</mark>

First Story							
			Opening Reduction	Average Shear	Tier 1 Shear		
Direction	Story Shear	Wall Length	Factor	Stress	Stress Limit	Wall OK?	
	(kips)	(ft)		(plf)	(plf)		
E-W direction: North wall	48	34.5	0.90	342	1000	ОК	
N-S direction	95	64.5	0.90	365	1000	ОК	

Second Story								
			Opening Reduction	Average Shear	Tier 1 Shear			
Direction	Story Shear	Wall Length	Factor	Stress	Stress Limit	Wall OK?		
	(kips)	(ft)		(plf)	(plf)			
E-W direction: South and North								
wall	70	35.50	0.90	489	1000	ОК		
E-W direction: Short piers in the	35	8.5	1.00	919	1000	ОК		
North wall with large window								
N-S direction	70	69	0.90	251	1000	OK		